

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

35243 Year: 1975

HILL EF

X: 245

O:

"A"

G. Hill
9/13/85

LETHAL DIETARY TOXICITIES
OF ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTANTS
TO BIRDS

By

Elwood F. Hill, Robert G. Heath
James W. Spann, and Joseph D. Williams

Patuxent Wildlife Research Center
Laurel, Maryland 20811



KBT, AND, AMZ,
PbI, ATZ, Cd, Captain CB
HCH, Cyp, Zn, As, Pb, DDT, DDD, DMT
DEM, DZ, PHTH, DDT, DDD, DMT
CBT, RSM, SZ, DS, DU, ES, ETN
DYN, ADC, ZnP, FNT, TVP, HPT, Pb,
MOM, MIN, Hg, Ni, Cr, MP, MEx, Naledi
PBT, FEN, PCP, PET
PCP, CC, TFM
TMM, Zn, TXP

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Special Scientific Report--Wildlife No. 191
Washington, D.C. 1975

RP ✓ MCPB ✓
RSM ✓
RTV ✓
LPT ✓
SZ ✓
DMT ✓
DC ✓
CBF ✓
MOM ✓
ADC ✓
17. OK ✓
Notes: Dac (Peg)

CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	iv
INTRODUCTION	1
PROCEDURES	1
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	3
Comparative Toxicity in Relation to Chemical Class	3
Comparative Toxicity in Relation to Species	4
CONCLUSIONS	5
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	6
REFERENCES	7
Table 1	8
Table 2	37
Table 3	38
Table 4	40
Table 5	42
APPENDIX	43

ABSTRACT

This report is a compilation and analysis of the results of nearly 10 years of testing the lethal dietary toxicities of pesticidal and industrial chemicals to young bobwhites (Colinus virginianus), Japanese quail (Coturnix c. japonica), ring-necked pheasants (Phasianus colchicus), and mallards (Anas platyrhynchos).

A total of 131 compounds were tested. Toxicities are expressed as median lethal dietary concentrations (LC50) and are based on 5 days of dietary exposure to the test compound followed by 3 days of untreated feed. From these data statistical comparisons between toxicities are possible for a given species.

Certain classes of pesticides -- organochlorine compounds, organophosphates and organometallic compounds -- contained most of the compounds judged "highly toxic". The most frequent order of species response was bobwhite > Japanese quail > ring-necked pheasant > mallard. This order correlates with their body sizes at the ages tested.

INTRODUCTION

This report is a compilation of the results of nearly 10 years of testing the subacute toxicities of pesticides and industrial chemicals to young bobwhites (Colinus virginianus), Japanese quail (Coturnix c. japonica), ring-necked pheasants (Phasianus colchicus) and mallards (Anas platyrhynchos). It supersedes our earlier publication (Heath et al. 1972). A total of 131 compounds were tested, including 30 organochlorine compounds, 39 organophosphates, 17 carboxylates, 15 carbamates, 12 metallic compounds, 5 organonitrogen compounds, 4 organosulfates, 4 ureas, 3 ketones, and 2 nitrophenols.

Our objectives were two-fold: to provide a readily referable source of subacute toxicity data for the species and chemicals we tested, and to compare the responses of different species to different classes of chemicals.

A detailed exposition of mathematical procedures and a list of chemical and common names (with often-used synonyms) are included in appendices.

PROCEDURES

Subacute toxicity tests were designed to measure a median lethal dietary concentration (LC50) of chemical to young birds during an 8-day test, including 5 days of treated diet followed by 3 days of untreated diet. Five or six geometrically arranged concentrations of toxicant were used per test at levels expected to kill from 10 to 90% of the test population. An equal number of positive and negative controls accompanied each test. Using shared controls, several compounds could be tested simultaneously. A completely randomized experimental design was used.

Feed treated with dieldrin dissolved in corn oil served as the positive control (standard) and feed treated with corn oil, the diluent used for most compounds tested, was the negative control.

Each test group (one test group per toxic concentration) consisted of 10 birds. Ages of test birds were 14 days for quail and 10 days for pheasants and mallards. In the 1973 tests, mallards were 5 days old.

Testing commenced at midday. Mortality and feed consumption were recorded at 24-hour intervals thereafter. Fresh feed was added to all pens daily. After the 5th day, all feed, including that of controls, was replaced by untreated feed.

Occasional deviations from the basic procedure were necessary because of shortages of facilities or birds. As few as five birds per test group and four toxic concentrations per test were sometimes used. Before standardization in 1970, age of birds sometimes varied as much as 1 week between tests.

All test birds were incubator-hatched progeny of randomly outbred Patuxent colonies. Bobwhite and pheasant colonies originated from the Pennsylvania Game Commission's game farm stock; Japanese quail from Auburn University; and mallards from wild stock. Parent colonies were outbred to maximize individual variation and to more closely approximate characteristics of wild populations.

Gallinaceous birds were tested in six-tiered brood units with tiers divided into four pens measuring 35 x 100 x 24 cm. Floors and external walls were of wire mesh; ceilings and common walls were galvanized sheeting. Tiers were equipped with thermostatically controlled heat and fluorescent lighting. Mallards were tested in wooden, walk-in pens on concrete slabs. The pens measured 1.5 x 3.0 x 2.1 m. The upper half of the lee wall of the pen was screened. Heat (infra-red), straw litter, and running water were provided.

Test diets were prepared by blending a toxicant-carrier solution into commercial starter mash in the ratio of 2:98, by weight. Corn oil was the usual carrier, although propylene glycol was substituted when compounds were insoluble in oil. Chemicals were dissolved in the carrier, over heat when necessary. Some chemicals were first dissolved in minimal quantities of acetone. If extremely large quantities of a compound were required, or if the compound had a talc base, it was mixed directly into the feed and appropriate amounts of the carrier were added to the mixture as a supplement. Unstable compounds were mixed immediately before the test. Control diets contained corn oil in the ratio of 2:98, by weight.

The LC50's and associated statistics were derived by methods of probit analysis described by Finney (1952) and were programmed for computer by the system of Daum and Killcreas (1966). The 50% response level was chosen because it can be estimated more precisely than extreme percentage levels (Finney 1952; see Appendix 1 for statistical details). Positive and negative control data were included in the probit analysis with every set of compounds tested simultaneously. Compounds with LC50's exceeding 5000 ppm in preliminary range finding experiments were not tested further. Estimates for preliminary data were made graphically by the method of Litchfield and Wilcoxon (1949) and are presented as approximate values that are considered to be provisional.

In Table 1, the toxicity of each compound to each species is compared with the toxicity of the dieldrin standard to the same species as determined by concurrent tests. The resultant value (relative toxicity of dieldrin or RTD) is a direct ratio of the LC50's of the two compounds and represents the difference in toxicity between those two compounds under a single condition. Therefore, toxicities of different chemicals in relation to each other can be estimated statistically by using the RTD's as described in Appendix 2. Lethal concentrations in addition to the LC50's can also be estimated from data in Table 1 as described in Appendix 2.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Five-day subacute dietary toxicities of 131 compounds were determined for young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants and mallards. In Table 1, results are arranged alphabetically by common name of the test compound. Chemical names, purity, chemical classes, and principal uses are shown in Appendix 3.

Comparative Toxicity in Relation to Chemical Class

Although interest in toxicity data tends to center on specific comparisons between chemicals, broader generalization is also useful in understanding the toxicity process, especially if judgments of relative toxicity are desired. Several rating systems have been developed for this purpose (Hodge and Sterner 1949, Radeleff 1964, and Melnikov 1971). These systems classify LD50's (median lethal dose) into categories of relative toxicity from "highly toxic" (<50 mg/kg) to "practically nontoxic" (>5000 mg/kg) with class divisions arranged geometrically. We developed a similar system for rating subacute data in which five toxicity classes were recognized. The classes are: I, <41 ppm; II, 41-200 ppm; III, 201-1000 ppm; IV, 1001-5000 ppm; and V, >5000 ppm.

Table 2 illustrates differences in general order of toxicity among chemical classes for Japanese quail, mallards, and rats. The rat data were derived from previously published acute toxicity tests (Gaines 1960, 1969; Melnikov 1971).

Japanese quail and mallards showed similar responses, except that mallard results fell slightly more often into the least toxic class, V. Both species responded similarly to organochlorine and organophosphorus compounds. These chemical classes tended to be most toxic to birds, as they contained the greatest proportion of compounds rated class I and II. All carboxylates and most "miscellaneous" compounds fell in the least toxic class. The toxicity ratings for rats were different because a much higher percentage of compounds were judged to be highly toxic (classes I and II).

With the possible exception of carboxylates, it is clear that lethal hazard cannot be predicted solely on the basis of chemical class. Nor can it be assumed that relative hazard of chemicals based on acute study with rats will follow the same order when tested subacutely on birds.

In Table 3 relative toxicities of different classes of chemicals to birds are given in more detail by subdividing the major classes according to their structural properties. Here, toxicities of similar compounds follow more definable patterns.

Organochlorines tested are halogen derivatives of either alicyclic or aromatic hydrocarbons. Nearly all compounds in class I and II are alicyclic. In contrast, most aromatic hydrocarbons are in class IV or V.

There are exceptions, however. For example, Starlicide, an aromatic hydrocarbon bird control agent, is surpassed in subacute toxicity to Japanese quail only by endrin, an alicyclic hydrocarbon, and azodrin, an organophosphorus compound (Table 1).

Tests with rats followed a similar toxicity pattern (Gaines 1960, 1969). Alicyclic hydrocarbons generally produced LD50's of less than 100 mg/kg (class I and II) whereas aromatic hydrocarbons were above 800 mg/kg (class III-V). Starlicide was generally in line with other aromatics in rat tests as the reported LD50 is 1170 mg/kg (Christensen 1973).

Organophosphorus compounds are derivatives of four phosphorus acids: phosphoric, thiophosphoric, dithiophosphoric, and phosphonic. All organophosphates that fell into class I were derivatives of either phosphoric or thiophosphoric acids, except Mocap (bobwhite LC50, 33 ppm), a dithiophosphoric acid. Phosphoric and thiophosphoric acids produced few LC50's above 1000 ppm (class IV and V), although nearly all LC50's of dithiophosphoric and phosphonic acids were over 1000 ppm. Among the phosphoric acids, azodrin (the most toxic compound tested), its close relative Bidrin, and phosphamidon were consistently among the most toxic of all compounds tested. Several thiophosphoric acids -- Dasanit, famphur, fenthion, methyl parathion and thionazin -- also were highly toxic. In general, the order of toxicity of these phosphorus acids to birds was: phosphoric > thiophosphoric > dithiophosphoric > phosphonic. Phosphoric and thiophosphoric acids also were highly toxic to rats (Gaines 1960, 1969). Abate, a thiophosphoric acid, provides an interesting contrast because it was quite toxic to birds (Table 1 and Hill 1971), but not to rats (Gaines 1969).

The metallic compounds tested varied widely in chemical composition and permitted only general comparisons. Organic forms tended to be more toxic than inorganic forms. The organomercurials Ceresan M and Morsodren consistently gave LC50's less than 100 ppm (class II). LC50's for other metallics usually exceeded 1000 ppm (class IV and V).

Comparative Toxicity in Relation to Species

Comparisons of the susceptibility of Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants and mallards to different chemicals are shown in Table 4. Quail appeared to be most sensitive to the comparatively toxic alicyclic hydrocarbons and mallards the least sensitive. Pheasants were most sensitive to aromatic hydrocarbons and mallards appeared least sensitive (based on response rating and median LC50). Species sensitivity to organophosphorus compounds followed the order: quail > pheasant > mallard. For carbamates, the order was quail > mallard > pheasant. This indicates a change in the pheasant-mallard relationship, even though organophosphates and carbamates both are chlorinesterase inhibitors. Meaningful comparisons were not possible for other classes of chemicals, but some observations are pertinent. Only pheasants produced LC50's less than 5000 ppm for

carboxylates. Pheasants appeared most sensitive and mallards least sensitive to inorganic metallics, but the reverse was true for organic metallics.

Overall comparisons showed the probable order of sensitivity to be Japanese quail > ring-necked pheasant > mallard; this relationship occurred 31% of the time. The opposite order occurred least frequently (6%). The second most frequent order of sensitivity (27%) was pheasant > quail > mallard.

Because all possible variations in order of species sensitivity occurred in all chemical classes, it is clear that an LC50 for any of these species probably would not permit prediction of that chemical's toxicity to either untested species. A similar conclusion was reached by Tucker and Haegele (1971) from the results of tests of acute pesticidal toxicity to six species of birds.

Although accurate prediction of the sensitivity of one species to a given compound from data for a different species appears unlikely, there are positive correlations between LC50's for different species within a given chemical class. Table 5 shows correlation coefficients for paired LC50's for the species we tested within the major chemical classes. All correlations of LC50's for Japanese quail and mallards were statistically significant ($P<0.05$ or $P<0.01$). Correlations between LC50's for ring-necked pheasants and either mallards or Japanese quail were significant in three of four comparisons with each species. Only three of eight comparisons between bobwhite and other species proved significant. Because all correlations are positive, 17 of 20 are either significant or very nearly significant at $P=0.05$, and one-half are highly significant ($P<0.01$), it is clear that the test species responded similarly, in a relative sense.

CONCLUSIONS

We have measured the dietary susceptibility of two to four species of birds to 131 toxic compounds. From the data provided in Table 1 the toxicities of different compounds to the same species can be tested statistically; thus, toxicity rankings are possible.

Most of the more toxic compounds were halogen derivatives of alicyclic hydrocarbons, derivatives of phosphoric and thiophosphoric acids, and organomercurials. Carbamates, often of extreme acute toxicity to rats, were only moderately toxic when fed to birds. Most carboxylates, ketones, organonitrogen compounds, organosulfates and ureas were of a relatively low order of toxicity.

Interspecies comparisons showed the overall order of susceptibility to be quail > pheasant > mallard which is size related. All combinations of species order occurred. Although the order of susceptibility of species varied, a characteristic order usually prevailed within a given class of chemicals and the LC50's for any two test species were strongly correlated.

This suggests that, regardless of test species, the relative toxicities of different chemicals in the same class would be similar if test conditions were constant. However, unpredictable differences in species' susceptibility occur often enough that tests of at least two species are desirable.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the many persons who contributed to this study. Special recognition is extended to the following: William H. Stickel was an invaluable source of information relating to our selection of test compounds. Clyde Vance supervised aviculture and consistently provided high quality test birds on schedule. Marshall Hynson, David Jaquith, Norman Kruhm, Claude Mills (deceased), Frank Polak, David Prevar, Richard Rowlett, and Perry Waters serviced test facilities and assisted with data gathering. William L. Reichel, T. Earl Kaiser and Thair G. Lamont advised on various chemical considerations. Helen L. Young conducted the computer analyses. Lucille F. Stickel and J. Larry Ludke critically reviewed the manuscript. Special thanks go to the various chemical companies who provided the compounds for testing.

REFERENCES

- Bliss, C. I. 1952. The statistics of bioassay. Pages 445-628 in Vitamin methods, Vol. II. Academic Press, New York.
- Casewell, R. L., D. E. Johnson, and C. Fleck. 1972. Acceptable common names and chemical names for the ingredient statement on pesticide labels, 2d ed. U. S. Environ. Prot. Agency, Washington, D.C. 243 pp.
- Christensen, H. E., editor. 1973. The toxic substance list. U. S. Dep. Health, Educ., Welfare, Rockville, Md. 1001 pp.
- Daum, R. J., and W. Killcreas. 1966. Two computer programs for probit analysis. Bull. Entomol. Soc. Am. 12(4):365-369.
- Finney, D. J. 1952. Probit analysis, 2d ed. Cambridge Univ. Press. 318 pp.
- Finney, D. J. 1964. Statistical method in biological assay, 2d ed. Hafner Publ. Co., New York. 688 pp.
- Gaines, T. B. 1960. The acute toxicity of pesticides to rats. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 2(1):88-89.
- Gaines, T. B. 1969. Acute toxicity of pesticides. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 14(3):515-534.
- Heath, R. G., J. W. Spann, E. F. Hill, and J. F. Kreitzer. 1972. Comparative dietary toxicities to birds. U. S. Fish Wildl. Serv., Spec. Sci. Rep. Wildl. 152. 57 pp.
- Hill, E. F. 1971. Toxicity of selected mosquito larvicides to some common avian species. J. Wildl. Manage. 35(4):757-762.
- Hodge, H. C., and J. H. Sterner. 1949. Tabulation of toxicity classes. Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. Quart. 10(4):93-96.
- Litchfield, J. T., Jr., and F. Wilcoxon. 1949. A simplified method of evaluating dose-effect experiments. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 96(2): 99-113.
- Melnikov, N. N. 1971. Chemistry of pesticides. Residue Rev. 36:1-480.
- Radeleff, R. D. 1964. Veterinary toxicology. Lea and Febiger, Philadelphia. 314 pp.
- Snedecor, G. W., and W. G. Cochran. 1967. Statistical methods, 6th ed. Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames. 593 pp.
- Tucker, R. K., and M. A. Haegele. 1971. Comparative acute oral toxicity of pesticides to six species of birds. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 20 (1):57-65.

Table 1. Dietary toxicities of 131 compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, or mallards (1964-73).

Compound	Species	Age (days) ^a	No. of conc. ^b	No. birds/ conc.	Toxicity statistics			
					LC ₅₀ ^c (95% C.L.)	Sloped (S.D.)	RTD ^d (S.D.)	(95% C.L.)
<u>Abate</u>								
Bobwhite	15	4	6	92	(70- 117)	9.862 (2.816)	2.67	(1.94- 3.67)
Japanese quail	19	6	16	260	(206- 334)	5.247 (1.047)	5.27	(3.87- 7.34)
Ring-necked pheasant	10	4	8	162	(120- 207)	8.135 (3.332)	3.05	(2.22- 4.05)
Mallard	17	4	8	894	(575- 1910)	2.739 (1.586)	2.79	(1.42- 4.76)
<u>Acetone</u>								
Japanese quail	14	3	10	>40,000	(No mortality to 40,000 ppm)		(No mortality to 40,000 ppm)	
Ring-necked pheasant	10	3	10	>40,000	(No mortality to 40,000 ppm)		(No mortality to 40,000 ppm)	
<u>Aldicarb</u>								
Japanese quail	14	6	10	381	(317 - 453)	9.716 (1.931)	6.9	(5.5 - 8.7)
Ring-necked pheasant	10	5	10	>300	(No mortality to 300 ppm)		(No mortality to 300 ppm)	
Mallard	10	1	10	<1000	(70% mortality at 1000 ppm)		(70% mortality at 1000 ppm)	
Mallard	5	6	10	594	(507- 695)	5.291 (1.245)	4.8	(3.9 - 6.0)
<u>Aldrin</u>								
Bobwhite	17	6	10	37	(33- 41)	9.867 (2.082)	0.94	(0.82- 1.09)
Japanese quail	6	5	18	34	(28- 41)	5.133 (1.243)	0.91	(0.66- 0.99)
Ring-necked pheasant	8	6	10	57	(50- 64)	10.433 (1.835)	1.05	(0.88- 1.25)
Mallard	8	6	10	155	(129- 186)	4.417 (1.507)	0.76	(0.60- 0.98)
<u>Aminocarb</u>								
Ring-necked pheasant	10	5	10	>2000	(No mortality to 2000 ppm)		(No mortality to 2000 ppm)	
Mallard	10	3	10	2552	(1698- 3855)	1.864 (1.139)	20.1	(12.5 - 33.2)

Mallard	10	3	10	2552 (1698-3835)	1,864 (1.139)	20.1	(12.5 -33.2)
---------	----	---	----	---------------------	------------------	------	--------------

Table 1. Dietary toxicities of 131 compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, or mallards (1964-73)—continued

Compound	Species	Age (days) ^a	No. of birds/ conc. ^b	Toxicity statistics			
				LC ₅₀ ^c (95% C.L.)	Slope ^d (S.D.)	R ^e	(95% C.L.)
<u>Amitrole</u>							
Japanese quail	12	3	14	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)		
Ring-necked pheasant	10	3	9	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)		
Mallard	10	3	10	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)		
<u>Aramite</u>							
Bobwhite	10	3	10	>5000	(10% mortality at 2500 ppm, 20% at 5000 ppm)		
Japanese quail	14	3	10	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)		
Ring-necked pheasant	14	6	10	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)		
<u>Aroclor 1221</u>							
Bobwhite	10	6	10	>6000	(No mortality to 4800 ppm, 30% at 6000 ppm)		
Japanese quail	14	3	10	>12000	(No mortality to 12000 ppm)		
Ring-necked pheasant	10	1	10	>5000	(No mortality at 5000 ppm)		
Mallard	10	1	10	>5000	(No mortality at 5000 ppm)		
<u>Aroclor 1232</u>							
Bobwhite	10	4	10	3002	(2577-3501) 11.631 (2.695)	75.1	(62.0 -92.4)
Japanese quail	14	3	10	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)		
Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	3146	(2626-3948) 5.786 (1.522)	61.6 ^f	—
Mallard	10	5	8	>6000	(12% mortality at 4558 ppm, 25% at 6000 ppm)		

Table 1. Dietary toxicities of 131 compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, or mallards (1964-73)--continued

Compound	Species	Age (days) ^a	No. of conc. ^b	No. birds/ conc. ^c	Toxicity statistics			
					LC ₅₀	(95% C.I.)	Slope ^d	(S.D.)
<u>Aroclor 1242</u>								
Bobwhite	10	5	10	2098	(1706-2610)	3.724	(1.739)	70.8 (53.3-101)
Japanese quail	14	8	10	>6000	(20% mortality at 5432 ppm)	20%	at 6000 ppm	
Ring-necked pheasant	10	5	10	2078	(1843-2347)	7.808	(2.616)	40.6 (34.7-47.7)
Mallard	10	5	10	3182	(2613-3879)	2.577	(1.513)	19.7 (15.0-26.3)
<u>Aroclor 1248</u>								
Bobwhite	10	6	10	1175	(966-1440)	2.950	(1.355)	39.7 (30.0-55.8)
Japanese quail	14	7	10	4844	(4351-5410)	7.845	(1.996)	77.4 (66.2-90.7)
Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	1312	(1166-1477)	7.534	(2.366)	25.7 (21.9-30.0)
Mallard	10	5	10	2798	(2264-3422)	4.725	(1.516)	17.3 (13.1-23.0)
<u>Aroclor 1254</u>								
Bobwhite	10	5	10	604	(410-840)	6.379	(1.848)	20.4 (15.0-27.7)
Japanese quail	14	8	10	2898	(2598-3241)	5.772	(1.364)	46.3 (39.4-56.5)
Ring-necked pheasant	10	5	10	1091	(968-1228)	12.174	(2.431)	21.3 (18.2-25.0)
Mallard	10	6	10	2699	(2159-3309)	6.674	(1.263)	16.7 (12.7-22.0)
<u>Aroclor 1260</u>								
Bobwhite	10	5	10	747	(577-937)	6.211	(1.631)	25.2 (18.9-34.4)
Japanese quail	14	7	10	2186	(1917-2478)	7.444	(1.439)	34.9 (29.3-41.3)
Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	1260	(1106-1433)	5.421	(2.715)	24.6 (20.8-29.1)
Mallard	10	5	10	1975	(1363-2749)	4.054	(1.759)	12.2 (8.9-16.3)

Table 1. Dietary toxicities of 131 compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, or mallards (1964-73)--continued

Compound	Species	Age (days) ^a	No. of conc. ^b	No. birds/ conc.	Toxicity statistics			
					LC ₅₀ ^c (95% C.L.)	Slope ^d (S.D.)	RTD ^e	(95% C.L.)
<u>Aroclor 1262</u>								
Bobwhite	10	5	10	871	(702-1069)	4.037	29.4	(22.1-40.8)
Japanese quail	14	7	10	2291	(2038-2575)	7.552	36.6	(31.0-44.2)
Ring-necked pheasant	10	5	10	1234	(1086-1402)	13.518	24.1	(20.5-28.5)
Mallard	10	6	10	3008	(2461-3634)	2.351	18.6	(14.2-24.5)
<u>Aroclor 5462</u>								
Japanese quail	14	3	10	≈ 4800	—	—	≈ 89	—
<u>Aspon</u>								
Japanese quail	14	3	10	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	—	—	—
Ring-necked pheasant	10	3	10	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	—	—	—
Mallard	10	3	12	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	—	—	—
<u>Atrazine</u>								
Bobwhite	9	3	10	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	—	—	—
Japanese quail	7	3	14	>5000	(No mortality to 2500 ppm)	—	—	—
Ring-necked pheasant	10	3	8	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	—	—	—
Mallard	10	3	10	>5000	(No mortality to 2500 ppm)	—	—	—
<u>Azodrin</u>								
Japanese quail	14	6	10	2.4	(2.0-2.9)	5.757	(1.439)	0.044 (0.035-0.056)
Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	3.1	(2.6-3.7)	7.390	(1.450)	0.045 (0.035-0.057)
Mallard	10	5	10	32	(1.9-57)	1.782	(0.485)	0.151 ^f (0.1227)
Mallard	5	6	10	9.6	(7.7-12.0)	5.453	0.068 (0.052-0.090)	—

Table 1. Dietary toxicities of 131 compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, or mallards (1964-73)—continued

Compound	Species	Age (days) ^a	No. of birds/ conc. ^b	Toxicity statistics			
				LC ₅₀ ^c (95% C.I.)	Slope ^d (S.D.)	RID ^e (95% C.I.)	
<u>Baygon</u>							
67 Bobwhite	14	4	10	206 (168- 251)	4.215 (1.988)	7.68	(5.8-10.6)
63 Japanese quail	14	3	10	>5000 ≈ 1750	No mortality to 1581 ppm, 10% at 5000 ppm —	—	—
64 Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	—	≈ 26.5	—	—
65 Mallard	10	3	8	<1000 (75% mortality at 1000 ppm)	—	—	—
<u>Bidrin</u>							
66 Japanese quail	14	6	10	32 (26- 39)	7.917 (2.052)	0.58	(0.45-0.75)
67 Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	44 (38- 51)	6.443 (1.400)	0.78	(0.65-0.95)
68 Mallard	10	5	10	144 (110- 185)	3.308 (1.198)	1.13	(0.79-1.62)
69 Mallard	5	8	10	94 (80- 111)	3.926 (1.008)	0.68	(0.53-0.85)
<u>Bux</u>							
70 Japanese quail	14	3	12	>5000 (8% mortality at 2236 ppm, 42% at 5000 ppm)	—	—	—
71 Ring-necked pheasant	10	3	10	>5000 (No mortality to 5000 ppm)	—	—	—
72 Mallard	10	3	8	>5000 (12% mortality at 1000 ppm, 38% at 5000 ppm)	—	—	—
<u>Cadmium chloride</u>							
73 Japanese quail	14	6	10	2584 (2165-3083)	4.144 (1.734)	34.2	(26.9-43.2)
74 Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	767 (651- 898)	3.068 (1.400)	12.1	(9.7-15.0)
75 Mallard	10	3	12	>5000 (No mortality to 1580 ppm, 8% at 5000 ppm)	—	—	—
<u>Cadmium succinate</u>							
76 Bobwhite	14	5	10	1728 (1381-2132)	4.574 (1.162)	41.6 ^f	—
77 Japanese quail	12	6	10	2693 (2269-3202)	3.671 (1.136)	50.5	(39.7-64.4)
78 Ring-necked pheasant	14	5	10	1411 (1202-1657)	4.437 (1.523)	26.9	(21.9-33.0)
79 Mallard	10	3	8	>5000 (No mortality to 2235 ppm, 12% at 5000 ppm)	—	—	—

Ring-necked pheasant	14	5	10	1411 (1202-1657)	4,437 (1,523)	26.9 (21.9-33.0)
Mallard	10	3	8	>5000 (No mortality to 2235 ppm, 12% at 5000 ppm)		

Table 1. Dietary toxicities of 131 compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, or mallards (1964-73)—continued

Compound	Species	Age (days) ^a	No. of conc. ^b	No. birds/ conc.	Toxicity statistics		
					LC50 ^c (95% C.I.)	Slope ^d (S.D.)	RTD ^e (95% C.I.)
<u>Captan</u>							
♂ Bobwhite	14	6	8	>2400 (No mortality to 2400 ppm)			
♂ Japanese quail	7	3	14 >5000 (No mortality to 5000 ppm)				
♂ Ring-necked pheasant	10	3	12 >5000 (No mortality to 5000 ppm)				
♂ Mallard	16	3	10 >5000 (No mortality to 5000 ppm)				
<u>Carbazyl</u>							
♂ Bobwhite	23	2	7	>5000 (No mortality to 5000 ppm)			
♂ Japanese quail	7	3	14 >5000 (No mortality to 5000 ppm)				
♂ Ring-necked pheasant	23	1	4 >5000 (No mortality to 5000 ppm)				
♂ Mallard	24	4	6 >5000 (No mortality to 5000 ppm)				
<u>Carbofuran</u>							
♂ Japanese quail	14	5	10	438 (356- 529)	8.714 (2.072)	8.1 (3.156)	(6.5 - 9.9)
♂ Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	573 (492- 666)	12.049 (1.56- 230)	10.3 (1.594)	(8.6 - 12.3)
♂ Mallard	10	5	10	190 (156- 230)	7.824 (1.594)	1.0 (0.8 - 1.3)	
<u>Ceresan M</u>							
♂ Bobwhite	14	6	10	≈ 70 (84- 118)	7.450 (1.119)	≈ 1.68 (1.87)	(1.47 - 2.39)
♂ Japanese quail	12	6	10	100 (127- 167)	5.960 (1.192)	2.15 (0.28)	(1.75 - 2.60)
♂ Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	146 ≈ 50 ≈ 54 ≈ 54	— — — —	— — — —	— — — —
♂ Mallard	10	6	8				
♂ Mallard	5	3	10				

Table 1. Dietary toxicities of 131 compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, or mallards (1964-73)--continued

Compound	Species	Age (days) ^a	No. of ^b birds/ conc.	No. birds/ conc.	Toxicity statistics			
					LC ₅₀ ^c (95% C.I.)	Slope ^d (S.D.)	RTD ^e	(95% C.L.)
CHE-1843	Japanese quail	14	3	10	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)		
	Chlordane							
96 Bobwhite		17	6	6	331 (197- 497)	4.866 (1.760)	7.27	(4.71-10.80)
97 Japanese quail		7	5	14	350 (305- 403)	6.651 (1.220)	5.86	(4.86- 7.08)
98 Ring-necked pheasant		15	5	9	430 (366- 505)	7.120 (1.775)	8.06	(6.51- 9.94)
99 Mallard		10	5	10	858 (629-1241)	3.796 (1.236)	4.23	(2.99- 6.28)
	Chlordimeform							
100 Japanese quail		14	3	10	1749 (1289-2344)	7.779 (2.322)	23.2	(16.5 - 31.9)
101 Ring-necked pheasant		10	6	10	2608 (2156-3171)	5.299 (1.052)	40.2	(31.0 - 51.7)
102 Mallard		5	3	10	>5000 (No mortality to 2236 ppm, 20% at 5000 ppm)			
	Chlormethylfios							
103 Japanese quail		14	3	10	>5000 (3685-4712)	(No mortality to 2236 ppm, 20% at 5000 ppm)		
104 Ring-necked pheasant		10	6	10	4168 (1.847)	6.096 (1.847)	79.8	(67.6 - 94.4)
105 Mallard		10	3	8	>5000 (No mortality to 1000 ppm, 38% at 5000 ppm)			
	Chlorpyrifos							
106 Japanese quail		14	6	13	299 (146-1682)	1.591 (0.766)	5.2 ^f	--
107 Ring-necked pheasant		10	6	10	553 (421- 687)	4.717 (1.221)	10.6 ^f	--
108 Mallard		10	8	8	≈ 940 --	--	≈ 6.0	--

Table 1. Dietary toxicities of 131 compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, or mallards (1964-73)--continued

Compound	Species	Age (days) ^a	No. of conc. ^b	No. birds/ conc.	Toxicity statistics			
					IC ₅₀ ^c (95% C.L.)	Slope ^d (S.D.)	RTD ^e (95% C.L.)	
<u>Chromium acetylacetone</u>								
♂ Japanese quail	14	3	10	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)			
♂ Mallard	10	1	10	>5000	(No mortality at 5000 ppm)			
<u>Co-Ral</u>								
♂ Bobwhite	14	6	10	120	(104- 139)	7.348	1.89	(2.36- 3.4)
♂ Japanese quail	14	5	10	225	(172- 306)	4.642	4.00	
♂ Ring-necked pheasant	14	6	10	318	(277- 364)	7.228	1.452	6.06 (5.03- 7.30)
♂ Mallard	10	6	10	709	(521-1032)	1.981	(0.993)	3.54 (2.34- 5.3)
<u>2,4-D,acetamide</u>								
♂ Japanese quail	14	*	3	16	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)		
<u>2,4-D,butoxyethanol ester</u>								
♂ Bobwhite	23	4	4	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)			
♂ Japanese quail	12	4	14	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)			
♂ Ring-necked pheasant	10	3	12	>5000	(No mortality to 2500 ppm)			
♂ Mallard	23	8	11	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)			
<u>2,4-D,dimethylamine salt</u>								
♂ Bobwhite	23	2	7	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)			
♂ Japanese quail	20	4	20	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)			
♂ Ring-necked pheasant	10	3	8	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)			
♂ Mallard	17	3	8	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)			

Table 1. Dietary toxicities of 131 compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, or mallards (1964-73)--continued

Compound	Species	Age (days) ^a	No. of birds/ conc. ^b	Toxicity statistics			
				LC ₅₀ ^c (95% C.I.)	Slope ^d (S.D.)	RTD ^e	(95% C.L.)
<u>Dalapon, sodium salt⁸</u>							
2 ¹	Japanese quail	12	3	14	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	
2 ²	Ring-necked pheasant	10	3	8	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	
2 ³	Mallard	10	3	10	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	
<u>Dasanit</u>							
2 ⁴	Bobwhite	10	5	10	35 (29- 43)	5.076 (3.408)	0.89 (0.70- 1.15)
2 ⁵	Japanese quail	14	6	10	83 (71- 98)	3.655 (1.544)	1.44 (1.16- 1.79)
2 ⁶	Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	148 (119- 179)	5.010 (1.369)	3.50 --
2 ⁷	Mallard	10	6	10	43 (36- 51)	5.139 (1.192)	0.21 (0.15- 0.26)
2 ⁸	Mallard	5	6	10	41 (32- 55)	4.399 (0.825)	0.23 (0.16- 0.33)
<u>2,4-DB</u>							
2 ⁹	Bobwhite	14	3	10	>5000	(10% mortality at 2236 ppm, 40% at 5000 ppm)	
2 ¹⁰	Japanese quail	14	3	12	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	
2 ¹¹	Ring-necked pheasant	14	3	10	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	
2 ¹²	Mallard	10	1	10	>5000	(No mortality at 5000 ppm)	
<u>DDE</u>							
2 ¹³	Bobwhite	23	5	7	825 (697- 976)	8.132 (2.436)	22.5 (18.1- 28.0)
2 ¹⁴	Japanese quail	7	6	12	1355 (1111-1648)	6.469 (1.205)	24.1 (18.6- 31.0)
2 ¹⁵	Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	829 (746- 922)	8.578 (2.220)	16.5 (14.3- 19.0)
2 ¹⁶	Mallard	17	6	10	3572 (2811-4669)	3.709 (1.069)	18.4 (13.6- 25.7)

Table 1. Dietary toxicities of 131 compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, or mallards (1964-73)---continued

Compound	Species	Age (days) ^a	No. of conc. ^b	No. birds/ conc.	Toxicity statistics			
					LC ₅₀ ^c (95% C.L.)	Slope ^d (S.D.)	RTE ^e	(95% C.L.)
<u>DDT</u>								
Bobwhite	23	5	7	611 (514- 724)	7.357 (2.489)	16.6 (13.4 - 20.8)		
Japanese quail	7	6	12	568 (470- 687)	4.770 (1.367)	10.1 (7.9 - 13.0)		
Ring-necked pheasant	21	4	7	311 (256- 374)	10.982 (4.644)	7.3 (5.9 - 8.9)		
Mallard	17	6	10	1869 (1500-2372)	3.896 (0.996)	9.6 (7.1 - 13.3)		
<u>DDVP</u>								
Japanese quail	14	6	10	298 (257- 345)	6.535 (1.369)	5.1 (4.2 - 6.2)		
Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	568 (473- 675)	5.521 (1.315)	10.1 (8.1 - 12.7)		
Mallard	16	3	10	>5000 (10% mortality at 1250 ppm, 30% at 5000 ppm)				
Mallard	5	6	10	1317 (104.3-1674)	2.349 (0.941)	8.3 (6.0 - 11.5)		
<u>Demeton</u>								
Bobwhite	14	6	8	596 (472- 768)	4.510 (1.289)	13.5 ^f (4.0 - 6.5)		
Japanese quail	12	6	10	275 (228- 327)	5.168 (1.314)	5.2 (10.2)		
Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	8	665 (572- 773)	7.238 (1.915)	10.2 (8.3 - 12.5)		
Mallard	10	6	11	598 (488- 733)	2.689 (0.873)	4.3 (3.2 - 5.8)		
<u>Diazinons</u>								
Bobwhite	10	4	8	245 (178- 324)	10.771 (3.271)	6.41 (4.29 - 9.44)		
Japanese quail	6	5	18	47 (40- 54)	6.962 (1.017)	1.11 (0.91 - 1.35)		
Ring-necked pheasant	22	4	8	244 (177- 322)	6.796 (1.794)	6.01 (4.19 - 9.18)		
Mallard	10	5	10	191 (138- 253)	3.687 (1.186)	0.94 (0.63 - 1.33)		

Table 1. Dietary toxicities of 131 compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, or mallards (1964-73)--continued

Compound	Species	Age (days) ^a	No. of birds/ conc. ^b	Toxicity statistics			
				Log ₅₀ ^c (95% C.L.)	Sloped (S.D.)	RTD ^d (95% C.L.)	---
<u>Diethyl phthalate</u>							
♂ Mallard		10	2	10	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	
♂ Japanese quail		14	3	16	>5000	(No mortality at 1250 ppm, 12% at 2500 ppm, 19% at 5000 ppm)	≈ 27
♂ Ring-necked pheasant		10	3	8	≈ 1500	---	---
<u>Dichlobenil</u>							
♂ Bobwhite		14	2	10	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	
♂ Japanese quail		17	3	15	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	
♂ Ring-necked pheasant		10	3	8	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	
♂ Mallard		10	3	9	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	
<u>Dichlorobenzophenone</u>							
♂ Mallard		10	3	5	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	
<u>Dicofol</u>							
♂ Bobwhite		15	6	8	3010	(2635-3424)	4.306
♂ Japanese quail		12	6	14	1418	(1232-1628)	4.113
♂ Ring-necked pheasant		16	6	12	2126	(1892-2387)	7.378
♂ Mallard		10	5	9	1651	(1356-2029)	5.638

Table 1. Dietary toxicities of 131 compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, or mallards (1964-73)—continued

Table 1. Dietary toxicities of 131 compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, or mallards (1964-73)—continued

Compound	Species	Age (days) ^a	No. of conc. ^b	No. birds/ conc.	Toxicity statistics			
					LC ₅₀ ^c	(95% C.I.)	Slope ^d (S.D.)	RND ^e (95% C.I.)
<u>Diquat, dibromide^g</u>								
♂ Bobwhite	14	4	8	2932	(1811-5236)	7.5888	(2.727)	83.9 (53.6-145)
♀ Japanese quail	14	5	16	1346	(1178-1540)	4.755	(1.414)	22.7 (19.0- 27.1)
♂ Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	9	3742	(3329-4220)	7.507	(2.011)	76.1 (63.9- 91.1)
♀ Mallard	16	3	9	>5000	(No mortality to 2500 ppm,	33% at 5000 ppm)	—	—
<u>Disulfoton</u>								
♂ Bobwhite	14	4	8	715	(617- 827)	10.241	(3.310)	16.1 (13.3- 19.5)
♀ Japanese quail	12	6	10	333	(282- 392)	5.812	(1.244)	6.2 (4.9- 7.9)
♂ Ring-necked pheasant	10	5	9	634	(547- 737)	7.110	(1.321)	12.9 (10.6- 15.8)
♀ Mallard	10	6	11	510	(415- 625)	4.713	(0.887)	3.6 (2.8- 4.9)
<u>Diuron</u>								
♂ Bobwhite	• 9	5	10	1739	(1482-2035)	7.218	(1.796)	41.4 (33.8- 51.7)
♀ Japanese quail	12	3	14	>5000	(No mortality to 1250 ppm,	14 % at 5000 ppm)	—	—
♂ Ring-necked pheasant	15	6	9	>5000	(No mortality to 1500 ppm,	11% at 2000 ppm,	33%	—
♀ Mallard	10	6	10	≈ 5000	—	—	—	—
<u>Difonate</u>								
♂ Bobwhite	14	5	6	133	(105- 195)	4.166	(2.764)	3.46 (2.60- 5.23)
♀ Japanese quail	14	6	10	295	(259- 336)	6.841	(1.476)	6.00 (4.98- 7.25)
♂ Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	270	(239- 306)	8.942	(3.105)	4.69 (4.03- 5.46)
♀ Mallard	10	5	10	1225	(889-1773)	3.399	(1.082)	6.11 (3.96- 9.59)

Table I. Dietary toxicities of 131 compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, or mallards (1964-73)—continued

Compound	Species	Age (days) ^a	No. of birds/ conc. ^b	Toxicity statistics			
				LC ₅₀ ^c	(95% C.L.)	Sloped (S.D.)	RTD ^e (95% C.L.)
<u>Endosulfan</u>							
^g Bobwhite	9	5	8	805	(690- 939)	4.796	(3.997) 19.9 (16.5 -24.5)
^g Japanese quail	14	6	13	≈ 1250	(1098-1482)	5.326	≈ 22 (1.904) 19.6 (15.9 -24.0)
^j Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	8	1275	(781-1540)	5.316	4.2 (1.507) (3.0 - 6.3)
^j Mallard	16	4	10	1053			
<u>Endrin</u>							
^g Bobwhite	17	6	10	14	(11- 24)	2.993	(1.243) 0.37 ^f ---
^g Japanese quail	14	6	13	18	(15- 20)	9.020	(1.844) 0.30 (0.26- 0.36)
^j Ring-necked pheasant	22	4	8	14	(11- 17)	3.485	(1.536) 0.34 (0.24- 0.53)
^j Mallard	8	6	10	22	(17- 31)	3.425	(0.991) 0.10 ^f ---
^j Mallard	5	6	10	18	(15- 21)	5.728	(1.302) 0.55 (0.44- 0.69)
<u>EPN</u>							
^g Bobwhite	10	5	10	349	(289- 411)	7.547	(2.080) 8.9 (7.1 -11.0)
^g Japanese quail	14	5	10	443	(349- 550)	3.246	(1.405) ≈ 7.9 ---
^j Ring-necked pheasant	14	6	10	1075	(943-1230)	6.776	(1.510) 20.5 (17.1 -24.7)
^j Mallard	10	3	5	≈ 330	---		≈ 1.7 ---
^j Mallard	5	6	10	168	(125- 237)	2.730	(0.856) 0.9 (0.6 - 1.4)
<u>Ethion</u>							
^j Japanese quail	14	3	14	>5000	(No mortality to 1000 ppm, 10% at 2236 ppm, 10% at 5000 ppm)		
^j Ring-necked pheasant	10	3	10	>5000	(No mortality to 1000 ppm, 30% at 5000 ppm)		
^j Mallard	10	3	8	>5000	(25% mortality at 2000 ppm, 44% at 5000 ppm)		

Table 1. Dietary toxicities of 131 compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, or mallards (1964-'73)---continued

Compound	Species	Age (days) ^a	No. of ^b birds/ conc.	Toxicity statistics				
				IC ₅₀ ^c (95% C.L.)	Sloped (S.D.)	RTD ^d	(95% C.L.)	
<u>Pamphur</u>								
1/6 Japanese quail	14	6	10	68	(59- 78)	7.678	(1.359)	1.26 (1.03- 1.53)
1/7 Ring-necked pheasant	10	4	10	49	(40- 61)	6.994	(2.809)	0.94 (0.75- 1.19)
1/6 Mallard	10	3	8	≈ 35	---	---	≈ 0.22	---
<u>Penac</u>								
1/9 Bobwhite	14	2	9	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	---	---	---
2/0 Japanese quail	14	3	16	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	---	---	---
2/1 Ring-necked pheasant	10	3	8	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	---	---	---
2/2 Mallard	10	3	9	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	---	---	---
<u>Fenitrothion</u>								
2/3 Bobwhite	14	5	10	157	(135- 183)	6.986	(1.936)	3.8 (3.1 - 4.7)
2/4 Japanese quail	14	5	10	≈ 440	---	---	≈ 10.6	---
2/5 Ring-necked pheasant	14	6	10	453	(388- 525)	8.131	(2.051)	8.4 (6.9 - 10.1)
2/6 Mallard	10	5	10	2482	(1693-3985)	2.083	(1.166)	12.4 (8.0 - 20.2)
<u>Fenthion</u>								
2/7 Bobwhite	10	5	8	30	(21- 41)	6.640	(3.675)	0.78 (0.51- 1.16)
2/8 Japanese quail	19	6	16	86	(68- 109)	6.361	(0.946)	1.73 (1.28- 2.37)
2/9 Ring-necked pheasant	10	4	8	202	(154- 254)	7.371	(3.071)	3.80 (2.79- 5.06)
2/0 Mallard	10	6	9	231	(108- 395)	2.080	(1.115)	2.29 (1.35- 3.66)
<u>Penuron</u>								
3/1 Bobwhite	14	3	9	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	---	---	---
3/2 Japanese quail	12	3	14	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	---	---	---
3/3 Ring-necked pheasant	16	3	5	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	---	---	---
3/4 Mallard	10	3	10	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	---	---	---

Table 1. Dietary toxicities of 131 compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, or mallards (1964-73)—continued

Compound	Species	Age (days) ^a	No. of conc. ^b	No. birds/ conc.	Toxicity statistics			
					LC ₅₀	(95% C.L.)	Slope ^d (S.D.)	RTD ^e (95% C.I.)
<u>Gardona</u>								
35 Japanese quail	14	3	10	>5000	(2000 No mortality to 2000 ppm)			
36 Ring-necked pheasant	10	3	10	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)			
37 Mallard	10	1	10	>5000	(No mortality at 5000 ppm)			
<u>Guthion</u>								
38 Bobwhite	14	6	6	488	(394- 601)	6.441	(2.395)	14.2 (10.6 -19.4)
39 Japanese quail	9	6	16	639	(512- 796)	4.189	(0.932)	12.9 (9.5 -17.7)
40 Ring-necked pheasant	22	4	8	1821	(1355-2468)	4.466	(1.504)	44.8 (30.5 -74.0)
41 Mallard	10	6	9	1940	(978-4506)	1.791	(0.587)	11.4f —
<u>HCS-3260</u>								
42 Japanese quail	14	6	10	642	(556- 745)	7.744	(1.409)	11.1 (9.1 -13.7)
43 Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	1086	(962-1226)	10.168	(1.889)	20.8 (17.7 -24.6)
44 Mallard	10	5	8	1657	(1337-2056)	3.563	(1.402)	10.5 (7.9 -14.1)
<u>Heptachlor</u>								
45 Bobwhite	23	5	7	92	(76- 113)	7.350	(2.233)	2.51 (1.99- 3.22)
46 Japanese quail	19	6	16	93	(74- 116)	3.722	(0.939)	1.88 (1.39- 2.58)
47 Ring-necked pheasant	8	4	10	224	(191- 265)	7.277	(2.876)	4.13 (3.42- 5.04)
48 Mallard	10	6	9	480	(389- 570)	5.264	(1.646)	2.82 (2.12- 3.71)
<u>Hexachlorobenzene</u>								
49 Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	617	(520- 730)	5.411	(1.236)	8.4 (6.6 -10.5)
50 Mallard	5	3	10	>5000	(No mortality to 707 ppm, 30%	at 5000 ppm)		

Table 1. Dietary toxicities of 131 compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, or mallards (1964-73)--continued

Compound	Species ^a	Age (days) ^a	No. of birds/ conc. ^b	Toxicity statistics			
				LC ₅₀ ^c (95% C.L.)	Slope ^d (S.D.)	RTD ^e (95% C.I.)	
<u>Hinosan</u>							
[♂] Japanese quail	14	6	10	2534 (2089-3059)	4.068 (1.135)	34.9 (26.3-44.8)	
<u>Imidan</u>							
[♂] Bobwhite	14	6	8	501 (340-781)	2.422 (0.844)	14.3 ^f (20.5-30.0)	
[♂] Japanese quail	14	6	10	1217 (1065-1392)	4.481 (1.439)	24.7 (26.2-38.0)	
[♂] Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	3146 (2624-3806)	4.688 (1.268)	62.5 ^f (65.2-102)	
[♂] Mallard	10	3	8	>5000 (No mortality to 2235 ppm, 38% at 5000 ppm)			
<u>Landrin</u>							
[♂] Japanese quail	14	6	10	2003 (1760-2283)	4.201 (1.536)	34.5 (28.7-41.5)	
[♂] Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	4500 (3677-5615)	4.794 (1.660)	80.7 (88.7-110.1)	
[♂] Mallard	10	5	8	≈ 2300 (No mortality to 5000 ppm)		≈ 10.1	
<u>Lead arsenate</u>							
[♂] Japanese quail	14	6	10	4185 (3215-5351)	(1.915) (1.323)	76.1 ^f (72.1-110.1)	
[♂] Ring-necked pheasant	10	5	10	4989 (4235-5927)	(5.557) (1.616)	88.7 (88.7-110.1)	
[♂] Mallard	10	3	12	>5000 (No mortality to 5000 ppm)			
<u>Leptophos</u>							
[♂] Japanese quail	14	3	10	≈ 1500 (700-1746)	1.974 (0.499)	≈ 20.0 (16.5 ^f)	
[♂] Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	1075 (1229-2109)	4.285 (0.933)	10.3 (7.4-14.4)	
[♂] Mallard	5	6	10				

Table 1. Dietary toxicities of 131 compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, or mallards (1964-73).
—continued

Table 1. Dietary toxicities of 131 compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, or mallards (1964-73)—continued

Compound	Species	Age ^a (days)	No. of conc. ^b	No. birds/ conc.	Toxicity statistics			
					LC ₅₀ ^c (95% C.L.)	(S.D.)	RID ^d	(95% C.L.)
<u>Lindane</u>								
65 Bobwhite	9	6	10	882	(755-1041)	2.456	(1.673)	21.1 ^f (17.2 - 26.4)
66 Japanese quail	7	6	15	425	(347- 520)	3.487	(0.682)	7.1 ^f —
67 Ring-necked pheasant	10	5	8	561	(445- 690)	8.251	(2.752)	10.6 ^e (7.9 - 14.1)
68 Mallard	15	6	12	>5000	(12% mortality to 1500 ppm, 17% at 5000 ppm)			
<u>Linuron</u>								
69 Japanese quail	14	3	10	>5000	(10% mortality to 1000 ppm, 30% at 5000 ppm)			
70 Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	3438	(2874-4139)	3.643	(1.089)	53.0 ^e (41.2 - 67.7)
71 Mallard	5	6	10	3083	(2419-3990)	3.450	(1.001)	19.5 ^e (14.1 - 27.0)
<u>Malathion</u>								
72 Bobwhite	14	6	6	3497	(2959-4117)	5.931	(2.533)	102 ^e (78.2 - 135)
73 Japanese quail	14	6	10	2962	(2453-3656)	5.272	(1.330)	45.3 ^e (35.7 - 58.2)
74 Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	2639	(2220-3098)	5.122	(1.475)	52.5 ^f —
75 Mallard	16	3	10	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)			
<u>MCPB</u>								
76 Bobwhite	14	3	10	>5000	(No mortality at 2236 ppm, 10% at 5000 ppm)			
77 Japanese quail	14	3	12	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)			
78 Ring-necked pheasant	14	3	10	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)			
79 Mallard	10	1	10	>5000	(No mortality at 5000 ppm)			
<u>Mercuric chloride^g</u>								
80 Japanese quail	14	7	10	5926	(4950-7896)	6.202	(1.884)	104.9 ^f —
81 Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	3790	(2768-5541)	2.640	(0.778)	60.0 ^f —
82 Mallard	10	3	8	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)			

Table 1. Dietary toxicities of 131 compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, or mallards (1964-73)—continued

Compound	Species	Age (days) ^a	No. of conc.	No. birds/ conc.	Toxicity statistics		
					LC ₅₀ ^c (95% C.L.)	Slope d (S.D.)	R _{DE} ^e (95% C.L.)
<u>Mesurol 97%</u>							
♂ ³ Japanese quail	14	6	10	1427 >5000 (1176-1727)	6.103 (1.834)	25.9	(20.2 -33.4)
♂ ¹ Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	>5000 (No mortality to 5000 ppm)	—	—	—
♂ ⁵ Mallard	10	4	8	4113 (2817-7504)	5.117 (1.426)	18.0	—
♀ ⁴ Mallard	5	6	10	1071 (808-1405)	2.558 (0.823)	6.0	(4.0 - 8.6)
<u>Mesurol 50%</u>							
♂ ⁷ Japanese quail	14	6	10	1199 (988-1452)	6.141 (1.843)	21.8	(17.0 -28.1)
♂ ⁵ Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	3849 (3118-4488)	5.379 (1.344)	52.4	(42.0 -64.5)
♂ ⁵ Mallard	10	4	8	2082 (1482-3139)	2.206 (1.321)	—	—
♀ ^{9c} Mallard	5	6	10	929 (680-1245)	1.530 (1.344)	5.16	(3.43-7.46)
<u>Methionyl</u>							
♀ ¹ Bobwhite	14	4	10	≈ 1100 —	—	28	—
♀ ² Japanese quail	14	6	10	3124 (2513-3940)	2.682 (2.147)	59.5	(46.7 -77.0)
♀ ³ Ring-necked pheasant	10	5	10	1975 (1641-2374)	3.700 (1.483)	28.8	(22.4 -36.1)
♀ ⁴ Mallard	10	6	10	2883 (2000-4572)	1.283 (1.086)	16.7	(9.7 -31.7)
<u>Methoxychlor</u>							
♀ ⁵ Bobwhite	23	2	7	>5000 (No mortality to 5000 ppm)	—	—	—
♀ ⁴ Japanese quail	14	3	12	>5000 (No mortality to 5000 ppm)	—	—	—
♀ ¹ Ring-necked pheasant	16	3	5	>5000 (No mortality to 5000 ppm)	—	—	—
♀ ² Mallard	16	3	10	>5000 (No mortality to 5000 ppm)	—	—	—
<u>Methoxyethylmercuric chloride</u>							
♀ ⁹ Japanese quail	14	6	10	≈ 1750 —	—	30.2	—
♂ ¹⁰ Ring-necked pheasant	10	4	10	1102 (957-1263)	8.480 (3.031)	19.7	(16.6 -23.4)
♂ ¹⁰ Mallard	10	5	8	≈ 280 —	—	—	—

Table 1. Dietary toxicities of 131 compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, or mallards (1964-73)—continued

Japanese quail	14	6	10	\approx 1750				
Ring-necked pheasant	10	4	10	1102	(957-1263)	8.480	(3.031)	\approx 30.2
Mallard	10	5	8	\approx 280	—	19.7	(16.6 -23.4)	\approx 1.8

Table 1. Dietary toxicities of 131 compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, or mallards (1964-73)---continued

Compound	Species	Age ^a (days) ^a	No. of ^b conc.	No. birds/ conc.	Toxicity statistics			
					1050 ^c	(95% C.L.)	Slope ^d (S.D.)	RID ^e (95% C.L.)
<u>Methyl Parathion</u>								
302 Bobwhite	14	6	6	6	90	(73- 111)	5.240	(2.164)
3 Japanese quail	14	6	10	79	(65- 100)	5.327	(1.410)	2.63 (1.96 -3.57)
4 Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	91	(77- 107)	6.855	(1.401)	1.22 (0.96 -1.57)
5 Mallard	10	5	10	682	(541- 892)	3.216	(1.227)	1.43 (1.14 -1.78)
6 Mallard	5	5	10	336	(269- 413)	5.330	(1.267)	4.98 (3.61 -7.83)
<u>Methyl trithion</u>								
7 Japanese quail	14	6	10	3165	(2738-3688)	5.491	(1.645)	54.6 (45.3 -66.2)
8 Ring-necked pheasant	10	5	10	1586	(1333-1881)	7.755	(1.390)	28.5 (22.6 -35.5)
9 Mallard	10	3	8	\approx 3000	—	—	—	—
<u>Metacarbamate</u>								
10 Japanese quail	7	3	14	\approx 500	(724- 985)	6.558	(1.936)	17.2 (14.0 -21.0)
11 Ring-necked pheasant	10	5	9	846	(268- 412)	3.041	(0.921)	2.4 (1.8 -3.2)
12 Mallard	10	6	11	334	—	—	—	—
<u>Mirex</u>								
13 Bobwhite	14	6	10	2511	(2160-2908)	6.032	(1.731)	60.6 (49.3 -74.2)
14 Japanese quail	14	1	10	>5000	(20% mortality at 5000 ppm)	—	—	—
15 Ring-necked pheasant	14	6	10	1540	(1320-1789)	5.801	(1.508)	29.3 (24.1 -35.7)
16 Mallard	10	3	8	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	—	—	—
<u>Mocap</u>								
17 Bobwhite	14	6	10	33	(27- 40)	4.956	(1.437)	1.24 (0.947-1.66)
18 Japanese quail	14	6	10	100	(85- 117)	5.285	(1.498)	2.03 (1.65 -2.50)
19 Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	118	(103- 134)	9.500	(1.956)	1.79 (1.50 -2.12)
20 Mallard	10	3	8	\approx 550	—	—	—	—
21 Mallard	5	6	10	287	(215- 382)	2.685	(0.676)	1.59 (1.07 -2.33)

Table 1. Dietary toxicities of 131 compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, or mallards (1964-73)—continued

Compound	Species ^a	Age (days) ^a	No. of conc. ^b	No. birds/ conc.	Toxicity statistics			
					LC ₅₀ ^c >5000	(95% C.I.)	Sloped (S.D.)	RTD ^d (95% C.L.)
<u>Monuron</u>								
21 Bobwhite		17	3	6	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)		
21 Japanese quail		12	3	14	>5000	(No mortality at 1250 ppm, 7% at 2500 ppm, 21% at 5000 ppm)		
23 Ring-necked pheasant		15	5	9	4682	(3902- 5746)	8.213 (2.470)	87.7 (72.1-109)
24 Mallard		10	3	10	>5000	(No mortality at 1250 ppm, 10% at 2500 ppm, 10% at 5000 ppm)		
<u>Morsodrene^g</u>								
25 Japanese quail		14	6	10	47	(40- 56)	7.745 (1.466)	0.96 (0.78- 1.19)
26 Ring-necked pheasant		10	5	10	64	(55- 73)	4.678 (3.080)	1.14 (0.96- 1.35)
27 Mallard		10	5	8	60	(47- 76)	7.547 (1.407)	0.38 (0.28- 0.52)
28 Mallard		5	6	10	51	(43- 60)	8.226 (1.259)	0.41 (0.33- 0.52)
<u>Nabam</u>								
29 Bobwhite		14	2	9	>5000	(No mortality at 2500 ppm, 11% at 5000 ppm)		
30 Japanese quail		17	3	15	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)		
31 Ring-necked pheasant		10	3	8	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)		
32 Mallard		10	3	10	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)		
<u>Naled</u>								
33 Bobwhite		10	6	8	2117	(1502- 2890)	5.169 (3.257)	55.5 (36.4 - 83.8)
34 Japanese quail		20	5	20	1327	(1178- 1490)	6.562 (1.059)	23.3 (19.9 - 27.3)
35 Ring-necked pheasant		8	5	10	2538	(2221- 4905)	4.905 (1.974)	46.8 (39.0 - 56.2)
36 Mallard		10	5	10	2724	(1068-15089)	0.912 (0.792)	16.0 ^f _____

Table 1. Dietary toxicities of 131 compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail ring-necked pheasants, or mallards (1964-73)—continued

Compound	Species	Age (days) ^a	No. of ^b conc.	No. birds/ conc.	Toxicity statistics		
					IC ₅₀ ^c (95% C.I.)	Slope ^d (S.D.)	RTD ^e (95% C.I.)
<u>Nemacur</u>							
⁴⁶ Japanese quail	14	6	10	59	(49- 71)	4.423	(1.223) 0.81 (0.62- 1.04)
<u>Ortho 11775</u>							
⁴⁷ Bobwhite	14	5	8	1474	(1075-2108)	8.368	(1.814) 42.2 (27.2- 68.0)
⁴⁸ Japanese quail	14	6	10	1345	(1139-1588)	7.810	(1.366) 25.6 (20.5- 32.1)
⁴⁹ Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	2874	(2567-3209)	9.888	(2.273) 51.7 (44.4- 60.2)
⁵⁰ Mallard	10	3	8	= 2300	—	≈ 11	—
<u>Oxydemetonmethyl</u>							
⁵¹ Bobwhite	14	5	8	434	(304- 600)	5.209	(1.714) 12.4 (7.7- 19.4)
⁵² Japanese quail	14	6	10	1309	(1097-1552)	4.163	(1.352) 25.0 (19.8- 31.3)
⁵³ Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	1497	(1326-1690)	9.292	(2.412) 25.7 (22.0- 29.9)
⁵⁴ Mallard	10	3	8	>5000	(No mortality at 1000 ppm, 5000 ppm)	—	25% at 2235 ppm, 38% at 5000 ppm
<u>Paraquat, dichloride</u>							
⁵⁵ Bobwhite	14	6	10	981	(784-1213)	5.022	(1.283) 25.0 ^f —
⁵⁶ Japanese quail	14	6	10	970	(823-1140)	6.059	(1.307) 18.5 (14.8- 23.1)
⁵⁷ Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	1468	(1287-1673)	5.846	(1.973) 22.3 (18.7- 26.5)
⁵⁸ Mallard	10	6	10	4048	(3432-4886)	6.765	(1.281) 23.5 (18.5- 30.7)

Table 1. Dietary toxicities of 131 compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, or mallards (1964-73)--continued

Compound	Species	Age (days) ^a	No. of ^b conc.	No. birds/ conc.	Toxicity statistics			
					LC ₅₀ ^c	(95% C.L.)	Slope ^d (S.D.)	RTD ^e (95% C.I.)
<u>Parathion</u>								
⁴⁹ Bobwhite	14	5	6	194	(150- 245)	4.690	(2.636)	5.65 (4.15- 7.68)
⁵⁰ Japanese quail	14	8	10	197	(177- 220)	6.517	(1.506)	\approx 3.02 (---)
⁵¹ Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	336	(296- 380)	6.595	(2.472)	6.67 (5.70- 7.81)
⁵² Mallard	10	5	10	275	(183- 373)	4.383	(1.375)	2.01 (1.40- 2.86)
⁵³ <i>Paris Green</i>	5	6	10	76	(61- 93)	3.725	(1.270)	0.54 (0.41- 0.70)
<u>Pentachlorophenol</u>								
⁵⁴ Bobwhite	14	6	8	480	(206-2042)	3.474	(1.920)	10.9 ^f (---)
⁵⁵ Japanese quail	20	5	20	1204	(1.069-1.1351)	4.925	(1.105)	21.1 (18.0- 24.7)
⁵⁶ Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	8	1043	(896-1217)	6.644	(2.043)	16.0 (13.0- 18.7)
⁵⁶ Mallard	10	6	10	>5000	(No mortality to 1900 ppm, 10% at 2625 ppm, 20% at 5000 ppm)			
<u>Perthane</u>								
⁶¹ Bobwhite	10	3	10	\approx 3400	(---)	\approx 85.0 (---)		
⁶² Japanese quail	14	5	16	5204	(4536-6034)	6.877	(1.790)	87.6 (73.9-105.0)
⁶³ Ring-necked pheasant	16	6	12	4331	(3926-4787)	8.990	(1.945)	75.5 (65.2- 88.0)
⁶⁴ Mallard	10	2	8	\approx 4500	(---)	\approx 24 (---)		

Mallard 16 3 10 \sim
 16 3 10 \sim
 >5000 >5000 >5000 >5000
 (No mortality to 5000 ppm)

Table 1. Dietary toxicities of 131 compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, or mallards (1964-73)—continued

Compound	Species	Age (days) ^a	No. of ^b conc.	No. birds/ conc.	Toxicity statistics			
					Log ₁₀ ^c (95% C.I.)	Slope ^d (S.D.)	RTD ^e	(95% C.I.)
<u>Phenothiazine</u>								
65 Japanese quail	14	6	10	3536 (3053-4117)	7.354 (1.525)	61.0	(50.3-74.3)	
66 Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	2775 (2455-3120)	5.554 (1.843)	53.1	(45.1-62.4)	
67 Mallard	10	3	10	\approx 4500 —	—	\approx 24.7 —	—	—
<u>Phenylmercuric acetate^g</u>								
68 Japanese quail	14	6	10	1028 (874-1208)	5.786 —	17.8	(14.4-22.0)	
69 Ring-necked pheasant	10	5	10	\approx 2350 —	—	\approx 45.2 —	—	—
70 Mallard	10	3	10	\approx 1175 —	—	\approx 7.4 —	—	—
<u>³²P</u> Phosphate								
71 Bobwhite	14	4	8	373 (326- 431)	16.173 (3.640)	8.4	(7.0-10.2)	
72 Japanese quail	17	3	15	\approx 200 —	—	\approx 3.6 —	—	—
73 Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	9	441 (381- 510)	7.648 (1.693)	9.0	(7.4-10.9)	
74 Mallard	10	6	11	248 (198- 306)	4.853 (0.924)	1.8	(1.3- 2.4)	
<u>Phosdrin</u>								
75 Japanese quail	14	5	10	286 (232- 348)	3.644 (1.906)	5.28	(4.17- 6.57)	
76 Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	246 (210- 292)	5.052 (1.365)	4.42	(3.58- 5.49)	
77 Mallard	10	5	12	1991 (1219-3240)	1.896 (0.799)	8.74 ^f —	—	—
<u>Phosphamidon^g</u>								
78 Bobwhite	10	6	8	24 (10- 37)	3.691 (1.451)	0.63 ^f —	—	—
79 Japanese quail	17	6	14	89 (77- 102)	5.818 (1.057)	1.16	(0.94- 1.41)	
80 Ring-necked pheasant	8	6	10	77 (68- 87)	6.564 (1.809)	1.42	(1.19- 1.70)	
81 Mallard	10	6	10	712 (558- 887)	3.860 (1.154)	3.51	(2.47- 4.82)	

Table 1. Dietary toxicities of 131 compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, or mallards (1964-73)--continued

Compound	Species	Age ^a (days)	No. of conc. ^b	No. birds/ conc.	Toxicity statistics			
					IC ₅₀ ^c (95% C.L.)	Slope ^d (S.D.)	RID ^e (95% C.L.)	
<u>Picloram</u>								
♂ ^f Bobwhite	10	3	10	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	—	—	
♂ ^g Japanese quail	7	3	14	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	—	—	
♂ ^h Ring-necked pheasant	10	3	8	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	—	—	
♂ ⁱ Mallard	10	3	10	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	—	—	
<u>Potassium dichromate^j</u>								
♂ ^k Japanese quail	14	6	10	≈ 4400	—	≈ 67.7	—	
♂ ^l Mallard	10	3	10	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	—	—	
<u>Pyrethrins</u>								
♂ ^m Japanese quail	14	3	10	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	—	—	
♂ ⁿ Ring-necked pheasant	10	1	10	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	—	—	
♂ ^o Mallard	10	2	10	>5000	(No mortality at 5000 ppm)	—	—	
<u>Rotenone</u>								
♂ ^p Japanese quail	14	3	10	1882 (1418-2497)	5.091 (1.589)	28.8	—	
♂ ^q Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	1608 (1365-1875)	5.421 (1.498)	25.4	(20.7- 39.7)	
♂ ^r Mallard	10	5	10	≈ 2600	—	≈ 11.5	(20.1- 31.2)	
<u>SRP-1382, 90.0%</u>								
♀ ^s Japanese quail	14	3	10	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	—	—	
♀ ^t Mallard	10	2	8	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	—	—	

Table 1. Dietary toxicities of 131 compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, or mallards (1964-73)---continued

Compound	Species	Age (days) ^a	No. of conc. ^b	No. birds / conc.	Toxicity statistics			
					LC ₅₀ ^c (95% C.L.)	Slope ^d (S.D.)	RID ^e	(95% C.L.)
<u>SBP-1382</u>								
40%								
♀ ^f Japanese quail	14	3	10	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)			
♂ ^f Mallard	10	2	8	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)			
<u>Silver</u>								
♀ ^g Japanese quail	12	3	14	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	≈ 96		
♂ ^g Ring-necked pheasant	10	3	8	≈ 4500	---			
<u>Silver, butoxyethanol</u>								
ester	33					
♀ ^h Bobwhite	14	3	10	3031	(2441-3774)	10,808	(3,945)	113 (84.2-160)
♀ ^h Japanese quail	14	3	16	>5000	(No mortality at 1250 ppm,	6% at 2500 ppm,	12% at	5000 ppm)
♂ ⁱ Ring-necked pheasant	10	3	8	≈ 2100	---			
♂ ⁱ Mallard	10	2	8	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)		≈ 44.7	---
<u>Slimazine</u>								
♀ ^j Bobwhite	10	3	10	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)			
♂ ^j Japanese quail	12	3	14	>3720	(No mortality to 3720 ppm)			
♂ ^j Ring-necked pheasant	10	3	8	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)			
♂ ^j Mallard	10	3	9	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)			
<u>Starlicide^k</u>								
♀ Japanese quail	14	6	13	23	(20-	26)	7.841	(1.792)
							0.39	(0.33- 0.47)

Japanese quail 14 3 10 >5000 (No mortality to 5000 ppm)
Mallard 10 2 8 >5000 (No mortality to 5000 ppm)

Table 1. Dietary toxicities of 131 compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, or mallards (1964-73)---continued

Compound	Species	Age (days) ^a	No. of birds/ conc. ^b	Toxicity statistics			
				LC ₅₀ ^c	(95% C.L.)	Slope ^d (S.D.)	RTD ^e (95% C.L.)
<u>2,4,5-T, butoxyethanol ester</u>							
♂ Bobwhite	14	6	10	≈ 3400	---	---	---
♀ Japanese quail	12	3	15	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	≈ 126	---
♂ Ring-necked pheasant	10	5	10	3950	(3106-6118)	4.939	67.8 ^f
♂ Mallard	10	3	10	>5000	(No mortality to 2500 ppm, 10% at 5000 ppm)	---	---
<u>TDE</u>							
♂ Bobwhite	23	5	7	2178	(1835-2584)	9.379	59.2 (47.7-74.2)
♀ Japanese quail	7	4	12	3165	(2534-3978)	4.613	56.2 (43.0-74.0)
♂ Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	445	(402-494)	12.180	8.9 (7.7-10.2)
♂ Mallard	17	6	10	4814	(3451-7054)	3.455	24.7 (17.9-36.1)
<u>Tetradifon</u>							
♂ Bobwhite	10	3	10	>5000	(No mortality to 2240 ppm, 10% at 5000 ppm)	---	---
♀ Japanese quail	12	3	14	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	---	---
♂ Ring-necked pheasant	10	3	8	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	---	---
♂ Mallard	10	3	9	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	---	---
<u>TFM</u>							
♂ Mallard	8	3	10	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	---	---
<u>Thionazin</u>							
♂ Bobwhite	14	5	10	65	(53- 78)	3.520	(1.465)
♀ Japanese quail	14	6	10	58	(49- 68)	8.316	(1.431)
♂ Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	72	(63- 82)	5.148	(2.593)
♂ Mallard	10	6	10	≈ 420	---	---	≈ 2.44

Table 1. Dietary toxicities of 131 compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, or mallards (1964-73)-continued

Compound	Species	Age (days) ^a	No. of birds/ conc.	No. birds/ conc.	Toxicity statistics			
					LC ₅₀ ^c (95% C.L.)	Slope d (S.D.)	RTE ^e (95% C.L.)	—
<u>Thiram</u>								
♂ Bobwhite	14	5	6	6	≈ 3950	—	≈ 102.9	—
♂ Japanese quail	14	3	10	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	—	—	—
♂ Ring-necked pheasant	10	4	10	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	—	—	—
♀ Mallard	10	2	9	>5000	(No mortality at 1000 ppm, 22% at 5000 ppm)	—	—	—
<u>Toxaphene</u>								
♂ Bobwhite	17	6	6	828	(619-1102)	2,509	(1.406)	18.2 (12.6 -29.1)
♂ Japanese quail	17	6	14	686	(523-1002)	2,796	(0.782)	8.7 (28.0 -45.4)
♂ Ring-necked pheasant	15	5	9	542	(462- 638)	5,917	(1.734)	10.2 (8.2 -12.5)
♀ Mallard	5	4	8	538	(474- 614)	14,113	(3.128)	2.6 (49.4 -75.2)
<u>Trichlorfon</u>								
♂ Bobwhite	10	5	10	720	(591- 871)	5,604	(2.677)	18.3 (14.5 -23.3)
♂ Japanese quail	12	6	10	1901	(1601-2255)	4,898	(28.0-108)	35.6 (28.0 -45.4)
♂ Ring-necked pheasant	10	6	10	3401	(2927-3957)	3,826	(1.344)	61.0 (49.4 -75.2)
♀ Mallard	10	3	10	>5000	(No mortality to 1581 ppm, 30% at 5000 ppm)	—	—	—
<u>Vapam</u>								
♂ Bobwhite	14	2	10	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	—	—	—
♂ Japanese quail	7	3	14	>5000	(No mortality at 1250 ppm, 7% at 2500 ppm)	—	—	—
♂ Ring-necked pheasant	10	3	8	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	—	—	—
♀ Mallard	10	2	8	>5000	(No mortality to 5000 ppm)	—	—	—
<u>Zinc phosphide</u>								
♂ Mallard	10	6	10	1285	(1026-1620)	3,980	(0.944)	10.1 (7.2 -14.6)

Table 1. Footnotes.

a Age of birds at start of test.

b Number of dietary concentrations used in probit analysis.

c LC50: ppm compound (based on active ingredient) in ad libitum diet calculated to produce 50% mortality in 8 days (5 days of toxic diet followed by 3 of untreated diet).

d Slope: probit on log concentration.

e Relative toxicity of dieldrin (RTD) reads as: "Dieldrin is x times as toxic as the given compound as tested." See test for use of RTD's to compare toxicities of any two compounds.

f RTD applies only at LC50 since probit slope is significantly different ($P=0.05$) from that of dieldrin. Propylene glycol was used as vehicle.

g Dieldrin toxicity statistics are mean values for all comparable dieldrin tests (sample size: bobwhite, 7; Japanese quail, 15; ring-necked pheasant, 19; 10-day-old mallard, 11; and, 5-day-old mallard, 6).

h Posttreatment observation was extended 3 to 6 days, depending on mortality patterns, for all organic metalics. Mallard LC50's for Ceresan M include 9 days of posttreatment observation, all other LC50's are from the standard protocol.

Table 2. Percentage frequency distribution by toxicity class for pesticidal compounds tested subacutely against Japanese quail and mallards as compared to acute rat toxicities^a.

Chemical class	Species	Toxicity class ^b				
		I	II	III	IV	V
Organochlorine (n = 18)	Quail	11	11	33	33	11
	Mallard	6	11	28	28	28
	Rat	11	33	33	6	17
Organophosphorus (n = 35)	Quail	9	26	31	23	11
	Mallard	6	6	40	26	23
	Rat	43	31	17	6	3
Carbamate (n = 12)	Quail			25	33	42
	Mallard		8	25	33	33
	Rat	33	25	42		
Carboxylate (n = 7)	Quail					100
	Mallard					100
	Rat	14		43	29	14
Metallic (n = 9)	Quail		22	11	56	11
	Mallard		22	11	11	56
	Rat	22	56	22		
Miscellaneous ^c (n = 14)	Quail			14	7	79
	Mallard			7	21	71
	Rat		21		50	29

^aOnly compounds providing complete data for each species are included. Avian data are from Table 1; rat data are from Gaines (1960, 1969) or Melnikov (1971).

^bBounds of toxicity ratings: I = <41, II = 41-200, III = 201-1000, IV = 1001-5000, V = >5000. Toxicologic parameters are LC50's as ppm compound in diet of birds and LD50's as mg compound per kg body weight for rats.

^cMiscellaneous includes nitrophenol, ketone, organonitrogen, organosulfer and urea compounds.

Table 3. Frequency distribution by toxicity class for organochlorine, organophosphorus, carbamate and metallic compounds tested subacutely against birds^a.

Chemical class	Species ^b	Toxicity class ^c					
		I	II	III	IV	V	
<u>ORGANOCHLORINE COMPOUNDS</u>							
Derivatives of alicyclic hydrocarbons							
	BW	3	1	5	1		
	JQ	2	2	5	1	1	
	PH	1	2	5	3		
	ML	1	2	4	2	2	
Derivatives of aromatic hydrocarbons							
	BW			5	6		
	IQ	1		1	9	6	
	PH			4	9	3	
	ML				10	7	
<u>ORGANOPHOSPHORUS COMPOUNDS</u>							
Derivatives of phosphoric acid							
	BW	1					
	JQ	2	2	2	1		
	PH	1	2	2	1	1	
	ML	1	1	1	3	1	
Derivatives of thiophosphoric acid							
	BW	2	6	3			
	JQ		7	5	1		
	PH		5	7	2	1	
	ML	1	2	8	1	2	
Derivatives of dithiophosphoric acid							
	BW	1		4	1		
	JQ		1	4	5		
	PH		1	4	5	3	
	ML			3	5	4	
Derivatives of phosphonic acid							
	BW	1	2				
	JQ		2		2		
	PH		1	3			
	ML		1	2		1	

Table 3. Frequency distribution by toxicity class for organochlorine,
 organophosphorus, carbamate and metallic compounds tested subacutely
 against birds --continued

V	Chemical class	Species ^b	Toxicity class ^c				
			I	II	III	IV	V
<u>CARBAMATE COMPOUNDS</u>							
	Derivatives of carbamic acid	BW			1	2	1
1		JQ		3	5	3	
2		PH		3	6	3	
		ML	1	3	6	2	
3	Derivatives of dithiocarbamic acid	BW			1	2	
6		JQ			1	3	
3		PH				3	
7		ML				3	
<u>METALLIC COMPOUNDS</u>							
1	Inorganic	JQ			3	1	
1		PH		1	2		
1		ML			1	4	
1	Organic	BW	1	1	1		
		JQ	2		4	1	
1		PH	2		4		
1		ML	2	1	1	3	

^aBasis for frequency distribution is Table 1.

^bSpecies: BW, bobwhite; JQ, Japanese quail; PH, ring-necked pheasant; and, ML, mallard.

^cBounds of toxicity ratings, I = <41 ppm, II = 41-200 ppm, III = 201-1000 ppm, IV = 1001-5000 ppm, and V = >5000 ppm.

Table 4. Comparative responsiveness among young Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants and mallards to pesticidal compounds when tested subacutely^a.

Chemical class	Species	Response rating ^b Most Least	Median LC ₅₀ ^c	(Extremes)
ORGANOCHLORINE				
		<u>Derivatives of alicyclic hydrocarbons</u>		
(10) ^d	Quail	50%	0	388 (18->5000)
	Pheasant	30%	20%	495 (14- 1540)
	Mallard	20%	80%	669 (22->5000)
<u>Derivatives of aromatic hydrocarbons</u>				
(15/12)	Quail	17%	50%	3165 (568->5000)
	Pheasant	84%	8%	2078 (311->5000)
	Mallard	0	42%	3572 (1651->5000)
ORGANOPHOSPHOROUS				
		<u>Derivatives of phosphoric acid</u>		
(7/6)	Quail	67%	0	286 (2->5000)
	Pheasant	33%	0	246 (3->5000)
	Mallard	0	100%	1991 (32->5000)
<u>Derivatives of thiophosphoric acid</u>				
(13)	Quail	69%	8%	211 (47->5000)
	Pheasant	15%	23%	240 (49->5000)
	Mallard	15%	69%	640 (43->5000)
<u>Derivatives of dithiophosphoric acid</u>				
(12/10)	Quail	50%	15%	2067 (100->5000)
	Pheasant	40%	20%	2230 (118->5000)
	Mallard	10%	65%	3300 (248->5000)
<u>Derivatives of phosphonic acid</u>				
(4)	Quail	25%	0	972 (295- 1901)
	Pheasant	50%	25%	708 (270-, 3401)
	Mallard	25%	75%	1430 (=330->5000)

Table 4. Comparative responsiveness among young Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants and mallards to pesticidal compounds when tested subacutely^a--continued

Chemical class	Species	Response rating ^b		Median LC50 ^c	(Extremes)			
		Most	Least					
CARBAMATE								
<u>Derivatives of carbamic acid</u>								
	(11/9)	Quail	56%	22%	1427 (381->5000)			
		Pheasant	11%	67%	2874 (573->5000)			
		Mallard	33%	11%	2300 (190->5000)			

^a Comparisons are restricted to compounds providing comparable data among the species as shown in Table 1. Mallard values used are mainly for 10-day-old birds.

^b Percentage of times each species produced the lowest (most responsive) or highest (least responsive) LC50 for compounds within each chemical class.

^c Derivation of median toxicities were restricted to LC50's of compounds used in construction of this table.

^d Where two numbers are shown, the first represents total compounds used for determination of median toxicities and the second is the total compounds upon which response rating percentages are based.

Table 5. Relation between four avian species in subacute responsiveness to pesticidal compounds^a.

Species compared	Statistic ^b	Chemical class ^c			
		OC	OP	CB	IM+OM
Bobwhite - Japanese quail	n	5	13	6	I.D.
	r	0.704	0.900**	0.491	--
Bobwhite - ring-necked pheasant	n	I.D.	11	6	I.D.
	r	--	0.561	0.675**	--
Bobwhite - mallard	n	6	13	6	I.D.
	r	0.759	0.543	0.958**	--
Japanese quail - ring-necked pheasant	n	12	30	11	7
	r	0.679*	0.941**	0.486	0.836*
Japanese quail - mallard	n	14	28	11	11
	r	0.918**	0.853**	0.633*	0.774**
Ring-necked pheasant - mallard	n	12	28	13	9
	r	0.897**	0.902**	0.902**	0.622

^aCorrelation coefficients are for paired LC50's from standardized data in Table 1. Standardized data includes only results for tests of 12-18-day-old quail and 8-12-day-old pheasants and mallards.

^bn, number of paired LC50's; r, correlation coefficient; I.D., insufficient data.

^cOC, organochlorine; OP, organophosphorus; CB, carbamate; IM, inorganic metallic; OM, organometallic.

*Correlation coefficient statistically significant ($P<0.05$).

**Correlation coefficient highly significant ($P<0.01$).

sticidal

IM+OM

I.D.

--

I.D.

--

I.D.

--

7

0.836*

11

0.774**

9

APPENDIX

0.622

± 1.
3-12-

:a.

.c; OM,

Appendix 1

Toxicity Statistics

The principal statistical reference point is the LC50, as determined by computerized probit analysis. The LC50, as used under our procedure, is ppm toxicant (based on active ingredient) in an ad libitum diet producing 50% mortality in 8 days (5 days of toxic diet followed by 3 days of untreated diet).

The probit analysis program calculates the following maximum likelihood statistics: LC50 and its 95% confidence limits; slope of the weighted linear regression of probits on log-concentration and its standard deviation; and relative toxicity, with 95% confidence limits, of any two compounds after testing regression lines for parallelism and heterogeneity. The program permits simultaneous analysis of all compounds tested in any single experiment.

Comparison of toxicities between compounds is by determination of their relative toxicity or "toxicity ratio." The toxicity ratio may be expressed unconditionally as the ratio between LC50's of two compounds provided the level of tolerance of test populations is the same and probit regression lines are parallel. The level of tolerance can be assumed comparable only if both test populations are drawn from the same population and are tested concurrently in a completely randomized experiment. Because this condition is obviously restrictive, adjustment for control, according to the procedure presented in Appendix 2. Parallelism is assumed if slopes of regression lines are not shown to be different at a specified level of significance.

Lethal concentrations other than the LC50 may be useful. These values can be estimated from data in Table 1 by the procedure described in Appendix 2. Estimates of this type should be derived from especially designed experiments, however, because extrapolation from a standard probit regression line can be misleading if the true regression equation has some curvature (Finney 1952).

Appendix 2

Calculation of Some Significant Toxicity Values

Toxicity ratios: The RTD values listed in Table 2 are used to calculate the toxicity ratio of two compounds for a particular species as follows:

1. Compute the toxicity ratio of "Compound 1" to "Compound 2" by dividing the RTD of Compound 2 by the RTD of Compound 1. For example, if dieldrin is 4 times as toxic as Compound 1 (RTD₁ = 4) and 6 times as toxic as Compound 2 (RTD₂ = 6), then Compound 1 is 1.5 times as toxic as Compound 2 (i.e., RTD₂/RTD₁ = 6/4 = 1.5). An algebraic argument for the procedure was previously presented (Heath et al. 1972). The calculation of confidence limits for potency ratios require more data than could reasonably be included in this paper.
2. Test the slopes of the probit regression lines of the two chemicals for parallelism using a 2-tailed t-test. Let b₁ and b₂ be the estimated slopes and s₁ and s₂ their standard deviations. (The s values are actually in standard error form.) Also let n₁ and n₂ equal the number of concentrations used in the respective determinations.

Then

$$t = (b_1 - b_2) / \sqrt{s_1^2 + s_2^2}.$$

Since s₁² and s₂² have n₁ - 2 and n₂ - 2 degrees of freedom, t is given n₁ + n₂ - 4 degrees of freedom, provided s₁² and s₂² can be considered estimates of a common σ². We expect homogeneity of variances in most instances; however, procedures for testing the equality of two variances and the significance of the difference of two means (i.e., b₁ and b₂) when variances are unequal are presented in Snedecor and Cochran (1967).

LC's for the percentage of response:

Lethal concentrations for percentages of mortality other than the median can be estimated from the data in Table 2 as follows:

1. Transform the LC₅₀ to its common logarithm and the desired percentage of mortality to its probit, the probit of 50% being 5. If we let k equal the new percentage of mortality for which we wish to estimate the lethal dietary concentration (i.e., the LC_k), and b equal the particular slope value from Table 2, then

$$\log LC_k = \log LC_{50} + (\text{probit } k - 5) / b.$$

The antilog of LC_k is the desired estimate. Tables for transforming percentages to probits can be found in various statistical texts, including Finney (1952, 1964).

Appendix 3. Compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, and mallards (1964-73).^a

Common or trade name	Chemical name	Purity ^b (%)	Class ^c	Principal uses
Abate	0,0,0',0'-tetramethyl 0,0'-thiodi-p-phenylene phosphorothioate	86.8	OP-TR	I
Accothion	(see Fenitrothion)			IN
Acetone	acetone	Tech	KT	
Agalol 1	(see methoxyethylmercury chloride)			
Aldicarb	2-methyl-2-(methylthio)propionaldehyde, O-(methylcarbamoyl)oxime	99.0	CB-CA	A, I, N
Aldrin	hexachlorobenzoxydieno, exo-dimethanonaphthalene 95% and related compounds 5%	Tech	OC-AL	I
Aminocarb	4-(dimethylamino)-m-tolyl methylcarbamate	Tech	CB-CA	I, M
Amitrole	3-amino-s-triazole	90.0	ON	H
Aramite	2-(p-tert-butylphenoxy)-1-methylethyl 2-chloroethyl sulfite	92.0	OS	A
Aroclor 1221	polychlorinated biphenyls (21% chlorine)	Tech	OC-AR	IN
Aroclor 1232	polychlorinated biphenyls (32% chlorine)	Tech	OC-AR	IN
Aroclor 1242	polychlorinated biphenyls (42% chlorine)	Tech	OC-AR	IN
Aroclor 1248	polychlorinated biphenyls (48% chlorine)	Tech	OC-AR	IN

Appendix 3. Compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, and mallards
 (1964-73) a--continued

Common or trade name	Chemical name	Purity ^b (%)	Class ^c	Principal uses ^d
Aroclor 1254	polychlorinated biphenyls (54% chlorine)	Tech	OC-AR	IN
Aroclor 1260	polychlorinated biphenyls (60% chlorine)	Tech	OC-AR	IN
Aroclor 1262	polychlorinated biphenyls (62% chlorine)	Tech	OC-AR	IN
Aroclor 5442	polychlorinated triphenyls (42% chlorine)	Tech	OC-AR	IN
Aspon	0,0,0-tetracyanopropyl dithiopyrophosphate	95.0	OP-DR	A, I
Atrazine	2-chloro-4-(ethylamino)-6-(isopropylamino)-s-triazine	99.0	ON	H
Azodrin	dimethyl phosphate of 3-hydroxy-N-methyl-cis-crotonamide	8.2	OP-PR	A, I
Baygon	o-isopropoxyphenyl methylcarbamate	95.0	CB-CA	I
Baytex	dimethyl phosphate ester with 3-hydroxy-N,N-dimethyl-cis-crotonamide (see fenthion)	85.0	OP-PR	I
Bidrin	(see abate)			
Biothion	mixture of m-(1-ethylpropyl)phenyl methylcarbamate and m-(1-methylbutyl)phenyl methylcarbamate	Tech	CB-CA	I
Bux				

Appendix 3. Compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, and mallards (1964-73)^a--continued

Common or trade name	Chemical name	Purity ^b (%)	Class ^c	Principal uses
Cadmium chloride	cadmium chloride	Tech	TM	F
Cadmium succinate	cadmium succinate	60.0(29.0% Cd)	OM	F
Captan	N-[{(trichloromethyl)thio]-4-cyclohexene-1,2-dicarboximide}	95.0	OS	F
Carbareyl	1-naphthyl methylcarbamate	99.8	CB-CA	I
Carbofuran	2,3-dihydro-2,2-dimethyl-7-benzofuranyl methylcarbamate	99.0	CB-CA	I, N
Ceresan M	" N-(ethylmercury)-p-toluenesulfonanilide	7.7(3.2% Hg)	OM	F
CHE 1843 (experimental)	trans-1,2-bis(propylsulfonyl)ethene	95.0	OS	F
Chlordane (see HCS 3260)	60% octachloro-4,7-methanotetrahydroindane and 40% related compounds	72.0	OC-AL	I
Chlordimeform	N'-(4-chloro-o-tolyl)-N,N-dimethylformamide	96.9	FO	A, I
Chlormethylfos	0,0-dimethyl 0-(3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridyl) phosphorothioate	95.6	OP-TR	I
Chlorphemamidine	(see chlordimeform)			

Appendix 3. Compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, and mallards
 (1964-73) a—continued

Common or trade name	Chemical name	Purity ^b (%)	Class ^c	Principal uses ^d
Chlorpyrifos	0,0-diethyl 0-(3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridyl) phosphorothioate	97.0	OP-TR	I
Chromium acetylacetonate	chromium acetylacetonate	Tech(14.9% Cr)	OM	F
Cidal	(see phenothoate)			
Co-Ral	0,0-diethyl 0-(3-chloro-4-methyl-2-oxo-2H-1-benzopyran-7-yl) phosphorothioate	95.0	OP-TR	I,P,R
Corrosive sublimate	(see mercuric chloride)			
Cyon	(see dimethoate)			
2,4-D, acetamide	" (2-4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid, acetamide)	75.0	CX-AX	
2,4-D, butoxyethanol ester	" 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid, butoxyethanol ester	69.3	CX-AX	H
2,4-D, dimethyl amine salt	" 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid, dimethylamine salt	49.4	CX-AX	H
Dalapon, sodium salt	2,2-dichloropropionic acid, sodium salt	74.0	CX-MC	H
Dasanit	0,0-diethyl 0-[p-(methylsulfinyl)phenyl] phosphorothioate	94.0	OP-TR	I,N

Appendix 3. Compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, and mallards (1964-73) a—continued

Common or trade name	Chemical name	Purity ^b (%)	Class ^c	Principal uses
2,4-DB	4-(2,4-dichlorophenoxy)butyric acid (see TDE)	Tech	CX-AX	H
DDD	1,1-dichloro-2,2-bis-(p-chlorophenyl)ethylene	99.9	OC-AR	DP (DDT)
DDX	dichloro diphenyl trichloroethane	100.0	OC-AR	I
DDT	2,2-dichlorovinyl dimethyl phosphate and related compounds (see dioxathion)	94.8	OP-PR	I
DDVP				
Delnav				
Demeton	0,0-diethyl 0-[2-(ethylthio)ethyl] phosphorothioate and 0,0-diethyl S-[2-(ethylthio)ethyl] phosphorothioate	96.0	OP-TR	A, I
Diazinon	0,0-diethyl 0-(2-isopropyl-6-methyl-4-pyrimidinyl) phosphorothioate (see naled)	92.1	OP-TR	I
Dibrom				
Dibutyl phthalate	Tech	CX-AR	IR	
Dichlobenil	2,6-dichlorobenzonitrile	96.4	CX-MC	H
Dichlone	2,3-dichloro-1,4-naphthoquinone	95.0	KT	F, H
Dichlorobenzo-phenone	4,4'-dichlorobenzophenone	Tech	OC-AR	DP (DDT)

Appendix 3. Compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, and mallards
 (1964-'73) a--continued

Common or trade name	Chemical name (see DDVP)	Purity ^b (%)	Class ^c	Principal uses ^d
Dichlorovos				
Dicofol	1,1-bis(chlorophenyl)-2,2,2-trichloroethanol (see bidrin)	Tech	OC-AR	A
Dicrotophos				
Dieledrin	hexachloropropyloctahydro-endo-exo-dimethanonaphthalene 89% and related compounds 15%	100.0	OC-AL	I
Dimecron				
Dimethoate	0,0-dimethyl S-[(methylcarbamoyl)methyl] phosphorodithioate (see phosphamidon)	99.0	OP-DR	A, I
52				
Dinoseb	2-sec-butyl-4,6-dinitrophenol	Tech	PH	H, I
Diocetyl phthalate	bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	Tech	CK-AR	A
Dioxathion	2,3-p-dioxanedithiol S,S-bis,(0,0-diethyl-phosphorodithioate) and related compounds 30%	Tech	OP-DR	A, I
Dipterex				
Diquat, dibromide	6,7-dihydrodipyrido[1,2-a:2',1'-c] pyrazinedium dibromide	37.0	ON	H
Disulfoton	0,0-diethyl S-[2-(ethylthio)ethyl] phosphorodithioate	Tech	OP-DR	A, I
Diuron	3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea	Tech	SU	H

Appendix 3. Compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, and mallards
(1964-73) a--continued

Common or trade name	Chemical name	Purity ^b (%)	Class ^c	Principal uses
DNP	(see dinoseb)			
DOP	(see dioctyl phthalate)			
DRC 1339	(see starlicide)			
Dursban	(see chlorpyrifos)			
Dyfonate	0-ethyl S-phenyl ethyl phosphonodithioate	93.0	OP-PN	I
Endosulfan	hexachlorobenzhydromethano-2,4,-3- benzodioxathiepin-3-oxide	96.0	OC-AL	I
Endrin	hexachloroepoxyoctahydro-endo, exo-dimethanonaphthalene	Tech	OC-AL	A, I
EPN	0-ethyl O-(p-nitrophenyl) phenyl phosphonothioate	Tech	OP-PN	A, I
Ethion	0,0',0'-tetraethyl S,S'-methylene biphosphorodithioate	95.0	OP-DR	A, I
Famphur	0,0-dimethyl O-[p-(dimethylsulfamoyl)phenyl] phosphorothioate	Tech	OP-TR	I
Fenac	2,3,6-trichlorophenylacetic acid	100.0	CX-AR	H
Fenitrothion	0,0-dimethyl O-(4-nitro-m-tolyl) phosphorothioate	Tech	OP-TR	A, I
Fensulfothion	(see dasanit)			

Appendix 3. Compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, and mallards
 (1964-73) ^a—continued

Common or trade name	Chemical name	Purity ^b (%)	Class ^c	Principal uses
Fenthion	0,0-dimethyl 0-[4-(methylthio)-m-tolyl] phosphorothioate	Tech	OP-TR	A, BC, I
Fenuron	3-phenyl-1,1-dimethylurea (see carbofuran)	Tech	SU	H
Furadan				A, I
Gardona	2-chloro-1-(2,4,5-trichlorophenyl)vinyldimethyl phosphate	96.0	OP-PR	
Guthion	0,0-dimethyl S-[{(4-oxo-1,2,3-benzotriazin-3(4H)-yl)methyl] phosphorodithioate (see hexachlorobenzene)	92.0	OP-DR	I
HCB				
HCS 3260 (experimental chlor dane)	alpha and gamma isomers of octachloro-4,7 methanotetrahydroindane	95.0	OC-Al.	I
Heptachlor	heptachlorotetrahydro-4,7-methanodindene 71.9% and related compounds	Tech	OC-Al.	I
Hexachlorobenzene	hexachlorobenzene			
Hinosan	0-ethyl S,S-diphenyl phosphorodithioate	95.0	OC-AR	F
Imidan	N-(methylcaptonethyl)phthalimide S-(0,0-dimethyl Phosphorodithioate) (see dicofol)	83.0	OP-DR	F, I
Kelthane				A, I

Appendix 3. Compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, and mallards
 (1964-73) a--continued

Common or trade name	Chemical name	Purity ^b (%)	Class ^c	Principal uses
Landrin	3,4,5-trimethylphenyl methylcarbamate and 2,3,5-trimethylphenyl methylcarbamate (see methomyl)	94.4	CB-CA	I
Lannate	lead arsenate	70.5	AS	F,I
Leptophos	O-(4-bromo-2,5-dichlorophenyl)-O-methyl phenyl phosphonothioate	87.0	OP-PN	F,I
Lindane	gamma isomer of benzene hexachloride	Tech	OC-AL	I
Linuron	3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1-methoxy-1-methylurea (see lituron)	50.0	SU	H
Lorox	0,0-dimethyl dithiophosphate of diethyl mercaptosuccinate (see methoxychlor)	95.0	OP-DR	I
Malathion	55			
Marlate				
Matadil	(see aminocarb)			
MCPB	4-(2-methyl-4-chlorophenoxy)butyric acid	Tech	CX-AX	H
Mercaptothion	(see malathion)			
Mercuric chloride	mercuric chloride	Tech (73.9% Hg)	IM	F
Mesurol	4-(methylthio)-3,5-xylyl methylcarbamate	97.0 and 50.0	CB-CA	A,I,M

Appendix 3. Compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, and mallards
(1964-73) a—continued

Common or trade name	Chemical name	Purity ^b (%)	Class ^c	Principal uses ^d
Metasystox R	(see oxydemetonmethyl)			
Metham	(see vapam)			
Methiocarb	(see mesurol)			
Methomyl	S-methyl N-[(methylcarbamoyl) oxy] thioacetimidate	Tech	CB-CA	I, N
Methoxychlor	2,2-bis (p-methoxyphenyl)-1,1,1-trichloroethane 89% and related compounds 12%	Tech	OC-AR	I
Methoxyethyl mercury chloride	methoxyethyl mercury chloride	Tech (68.0% Hg)	OM	F
Methyl parathion	0,0-dimethyl 0-p-nitrophenyl phosphorothioate	80.0	OP-TR	I
Methyl trithion	S-[(p-chlorophenyl)thiomethyl] 0,0-dimethyl phosphorodithioate	85.0	OP-DR	A, I
Mexacarbate	4-(dimethylamino)-3,5-xylyl methylcarbamate	93.3	CB-CA	A, I
Mervinphos	(see phosdrin)			
Mirex	dodecachlorooctahydro-1,3,4-metheno-1H-cyclobutal[cd]pentadiene	98.0	OC-AL	I
Mocap	0-ethyl S,S-dipropropyl phosphorodithioate	95.8	OP-DR	I, N
Monocrotophos	(see azodrin)			

Appendix 3. Compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, and mallards
(1964-73) a--continued

Common or trade name	Chemical name	Purity ^b (%)	Class ^c	Principal uses ^d
Monuron	3-(p-chlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea	Tech	SU	H
Morsodren	cyano(methylmercuri) guanidine	2.2(1.51% Hg)	OM	F
Nabam	Disodium ethylene bisdithiocarbamate	93.0	CB-DA	F, H, N
Naled	1,2-dibromo-2,2-dichloroethyl dimethyl phosphate	Tech	OP-PR	A, I
Neguvon	(see trichlorfon)			
Nemacur	ethyl 4-(methylthio)-m-tolyl isopropyl phosphoramidate	81.0	OP-PR	N
Ortho 11775	3-(2-butyl)phenyl-N-methyl-N-(phenylsulfeny) carbamate	Tech	CB-CA	I
Oxydemetonmethyl	S-[2-(ethylsulfinyl)ethyl]O,O-dimethyl phosphorothioate	50.0	OP-TR	A, I
Panogen	(see morsodren)			
Paraquat CL	(see paraquat dichloride)			
Paraquat dichloride	1,1'-dimethyl-4,4'-bipyridinium dichloride	29.1	ON	H
Parathion	0,0-diethyl 0-p-nitrophenyl phosphorothioate	99.5	OP-TR	A, I
Paris green	copper acetoarsenite	97.4	AS	I
PCB	(see arctor, number)			
Pentachlorophenol	pentachlorophenol, related compounds	40.0	OC-AR	F, H, I, M, WP

Appendix 3. Compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, and mallards
(1964-73) a...continued

Common or trade name	Chemical name	Purity ^b (%)	Class ^c	Principal uses
Perthane	diethyl diphenyl dichloroethane 95.0% and related compounds	Tech	OC-AR	I
Phenthoate	S-[alpha-(ethoxy carbonyl)benzyl] 0,0-dimethyl phosphorodithioate	91.0	OP-DR	A, I
Phenylmercuric acetate	phenylmercuric acetate	Tech (59.5% Hg)	OM	F, H
Phorate	0,0-diethyl S-[{(ethylthio)methyl}] phosphorodithioate	90.0	OP-DR	A, I
Phosdrin	2-carbamethoxy-1-methylvinyl dimethyl phosphate, alpha isomer and related compounds	Tech	OP-PR	A, I.
58 Phosphamidon	2-chloro-N,N-diethyl-3-hydroxycrotonamide, ester with dimethyl phosphate (see leptophos) (see dichlone)	78.0	OP-PR	A, I
Phosvel				
Phygon				
Picloram	4-amino-3,5,6-trichloropicolinic acid	90.5	CX-MC	H
PMA	(see phenylmercuric acetate)			
Potassium dichromate	Potassium dichromate	>99.9 (35.4% Cr)	IM	F
Prolate	(see imidan)			
Prophos	(see mocap)			
Pyrethrins	pyrethrins	20.0	CX-MC	I

Appendix 3. Compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, and mallards
1964, 1965, 1966, 1967, 1968, 1969, 1970, 1971, 1972, 1973

Prophos	(see mocap)
Pyrethrins	pyrethrins

20.0

CX-MC

I

Appendix 3. Compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, and mallards (1964-73)^a--continued

Common or trade name	Chemical name	Purity ^b (%)	Class ^c	Principal uses
Resmethrin	(see SBP-1382)			
Rothane	(see TDE)			
Rotenone	1,2,12,12a-tetrahydro-2-isopropenyl-8,9-dimethoxy[1]benzopyran[3,4-b]-furo[2,3-h][1]benzopyran-6(6aH)-one, and other cube resins	34.5	KT	I
SBP-1382	(5-benzyl-3-furyl)methyl 2,2-dimethyl-3-(2-methylpropenyl) cyclopropanecarboxylate (see gardona)	96.0 and 45.4	CX-MC	I
SD 8447	(see carbaryl)			
Sevin	2-(2,4,5-trichlorophenoxy)propionic acid	100.0	CX-AY	H
Silvex	2-(2,4,5-trichlorophenoxy) propionic acid, butoxyethanol ester	Tech	CX-AX	H
Silver, butoxy-ethanol ester	2-(2,4,5-trichlorophenoxy) propionic acid, butoxyethanol ester			
Simazine	2-chloro-4,6-bis(ethylamino)-s-triazine	99.1	ON	H
Starlicide	3-chloro-p-toluidine hydrochloride	89.0	OC-AR	BC
Strobane	terpene polychlorinates, 65 or 66% chlorine (see fenitrothion)	Tech	OC-AL	A,F,I
Sumithion	(see fenitrothion)			
Systox	2,4,5-T, butoxy-ethanol ester	Tech	CX-AX	H
TDE	3,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid, dichloro diphenyl dichloroethane	Tech	OC-AR	I

Appendix 3. Compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, and mallards
(1964-73)—continued

Common or trade name	Chemical name	Purity ^b (%)	Class c	Principal uses
Tedion	(see tetradifon)			
Temik	(see aldicarb)			
Terpene polychlorofnates	(see strobane)			
Tetradifon	p-chlorophenyl 2,4,5-trichlorophenyl sulfone	97.9	OS	A, I
TFM	alpha, alpha, alpha-trifluoro-4-nitro-meta-cresol	Tech	OP-TR	A, F, I, N
Thimet	(see phorate)		CB-DA	AR, F
Thiodan	(see endosulfan)			
60 Thionazin	10,0-diethyl 0-2-pyrazinyl phosphorothioate	Tech		
Thiram	tetramethylthiuram disulfide	95.0		
Tordon	(see picloram)			
Toxaphene	chlorinated camphene, 67-69% chlorine	100.0	OC-AL	I, R
2,4,5-TP	(see silvex)			
Trichlorfon	dimethyl (2,2,2-trichloro-1 hydroxyethyl) phosphonate	98.0	OP-PN	I
Vapam	sodium methylidithiocarbamate	Tech	CB-DA	F, H, N
Vaponia	(see DDVP)			

Appendix 3. Compounds tested in 5-day diets of young bobwhites, Japanese quail, ring-necked pheasants, and mallards
 (1964-73) a—continued

Common or trade name	Chemical name (see maxacarbate)	Purity ^b (%)	Class ^c	Principal uses
Zectrar		Tech	IM	R
Zinc phosphide	f zinc phosphide (see thionazin)	IM		
Zinophos				

a Nomenclature is after Caswell et al. (1972).

b Based upon supplier's statement.

"Technical" assumes purity to be $\geq 95\%$ (actual value unknown).
 c AS, arsenic; CB, carbamate (-CA=carbamic acid, -DA=dithiocarbamic acid); CS, carboxylate (-AR=aromatic, -A_nXO_n=carboxylic, -MC=miscellaneous); FO, formamide; IM, inorganic metallic; MT, ketone; OC, organochlorine (-Al_n=alicyclic, -AR=aromatic); OM, organometallic; ON, organonitrogen; OP, organophosphorus (-PR, thiophosphoric acid, -DR=dithiophosphoric acid, -PN-phosphonic acid); OS, organosulfur; PH, phenolic; SU, synthetic urea.

d A, acaricide; AR, animal repellent; BC, bird control; DP, degradation product (parent compound parenthesized); F, fungicide; H, hericide; I, insecticide; IN, industrial; IR, insect repellent; L, lampricide; M, molluscide; N, nematocide; P, parasiticide; R, rodenticide; WP, wood preservative.